## **BMC Nephrology**



Research article Open Access

# The role of hemodialysis machines dedication in reducing Hepatitis C transmission in the dialysis setting in Iran: A multicenter prospective interventional study

Alireza Abdollah Shamshirsaz\*<sup>1,4</sup>, Mohammad Kamgar<sup>1,4</sup>, Mir Reza Bekheirnia<sup>1,4</sup>, Farzam Ayazi<sup>1</sup>, Seyed Reza Hashemi<sup>1</sup>, Navid Bouzari<sup>1</sup>, Mohammad Reza Habibzadeh<sup>1,4</sup>, Nima Pourzahedgilani<sup>4</sup>, Varshasb Broumand<sup>1</sup>, Amirhooshang Abdollah Shamshirsaz<sup>1</sup>, Maziyar Moradi<sup>1</sup>, Mehrdad Borghei<sup>1</sup>, Niloofar Nobakht Haghighi<sup>3</sup> and Behrooz Broumand<sup>1,2</sup>

Address: <sup>1</sup>Nephrology, Hazrat-e-Rasoul hospital, Tehran, Iran, <sup>2</sup>Nephrology, Pars Hospital, Tehran, Iran, <sup>3</sup>Academy of Medical Sciences, P.O.Box: 19395/4655, Tehran, Iran and <sup>4</sup>Research and Education Department, Charity Foundation for Special Diseases Tehran, Iran

Email: Alireza Abdollah Shamshirsaz\* - alirezashamshirsaz@yahoo.com; Mohammad Kamgar - m\_kamgar@yahoo.com; Mir Reza Bekheirnia - rezabkh@hotmail.com; Farzam Ayazi - fa\_ayazi@yahoo.com; Seyed Reza Hashemi - r\_hashemi@hotmail.com; Navid Bouzari - nbouzari@yahoo.com; Mohammad Reza Habibzadeh - mrezahabibzadeh@yahoo.com; Nima Pourzahedgilani - nimapg@yahoo.com; Varshasb Broumand - vbroumand@aol.com; Amirhooshang Abdollah Shamshirsaz - shamshir\_1978am@yahoo.com; Maziyar Moradi - mazmoradi@yahoo.com; Mehrdad Borghei - rezabkh@hotmail.com; Niloofar Nobakht Haghighi - Niloofar.Nobakht@uchsc.edu; Behrooz Broumand - v4broumand@yahoo.com

\* Corresponding author

Published: 07 October 2004

BMC Nephrology 2004, 5:13 doi:10.1186/1471-2369-5-13

BMC Nephrology 2004, 5:13 doi:10.1186/14/1-2369-5-13

This article is available from: http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2369/5/13

© 2004 Shamshirsaz et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<a href="http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0">http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0</a>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Received: 25 April 2004 Accepted: 07 October 2004

#### **Abstract**

**Background:** Hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection is a significant problem among patients undergoing maintenance hemodialysis (HD). We conducted a prospective multi-center study to evaluate the effect of dialysis machine separation on the spread of HCV infection.

**Methods:** Twelve randomly selected dialysis centers in Tehran, Iran were randomly divided into two groups; those using dedicated machines (D) for HCV infected individuals and those using non-dedicated HD machines (ND). 593 HD cases including 51 HCV positive (RT-PCR) cases and 542 HCV negative patients were enrolled in this study. The prevalence of HCV infection in the D group was 10.1% (range: 4.6%–13.2%) and it was 7.1% (range: 4.2%–16.8%) in the ND group. During the study conduction 5 new HCV positive cases and 169 new HCV negative cases were added. In the D group, PCR positive patients were dialyzed on dedicated machines. In the ND group all patients shared the same machines.

**Results:** In the first follow-up period, the incidence of HCV infection was 1.6% and 4.7% in the D and ND group respectively (p = 0.05). In the second follow-up period, the incidence of HCV infection was 1.3% in the D group and 5.7% in the ND group (p < 0.05).

**Conclusions:** In this study the incidence of HCV in HD patients decreased by the use of dedicated HD machines for HCV infected patients. Additional studies may help to clarify the role of machine dedication in conjunction with application of universal precautions in reducing HCV transmission.

#### **Background**

Hepatitis C virus (HCV) transmission occurs mainly through large or repeated direct percutaneous punctures to blood vessels; for example repeated injections for drug abuse [1]. Less frequent routes are sexual transmission [2], perinatal transmission [3], acquisition from mucous membrane exposure [4,5], body fluids [6] and colonoscopy [7]. However, in up to 40% of infected individuals, the route of transmission remains unknown [8]. Since the introduction of blood and organ donor screening by antibody testing in 1991, HCV has rarely been transmitted by transfusion of blood products[1], but there remains a relatively high incidence of new infections in hemodialysis (HD) units [9,10]. Several reports around the world indicate that the frequency of HCV is higher in patients undergoing maintenance HD than in the general population. The reported prevalence of HCV infection in maintenance HD patients varies markedly from country to country and from one center to another [11] ranging between 8% and 39% in North America, 1% and 54% in Europe, 17% and 51% in Asia, and 1% and 10% in Australia [12]. In Iran the prevalence of HCV varies from 5.5%-24%. [13,15].

Molecular virological studies have clearly shown the nosocomial transmission of HCV to hemodialysis patients,[16,17] but the exact modes of transmission remain unclear. Studies suggest several risk factors, including transmission through blood components [18]; patient-to-patient transmission through shared equipment [19], devices [20], or multidose vials [21]; and between patients treated on the same shift but not sharing equipment [16]. Basic hygienic precautions, for instance hand washing, the use of protective gloves when patients and HD equipment is touched are observed worldwide but only a few centers have isolated their HCV-positive patients or dialyzed them during dedicated shift or using dedicated dialysis machines. At the present time, the Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) does not recommend isolation of patients with HCV [1]. The evaluation of this problem is difficult because of the paucity of prospective studies and the scarce data about patient-to-patient transmission in settings other than HD centers [6] and therefore the benefit of isolation of HCV infected dialysis patients remains controversial. The prevalence of HCV in hemodialysis units is higher than normal population in Iran (5–24% [13,15] versus 0.3 [22]) and most other countries. Considering the added expense of patient isolation we conducted a prospective study in hemodialysis units in Tehran, Iran, to evaluate the role of HD machine separation in reducing HCV transmission to HD patients.

#### **Methods**

Among 40 HD centers in Tehran, we randomly selected centers one by one to reach a total number of 593 patients

(12 centers) to enroll in this study. Selected centers were randomly divided in to dedicated (D) and non-dedicated (ND) HD machine groups, including 297 patients in D (4 centers) and 296 patients in ND group (8 centers). ELISA III checked all patients for HCV antibody detection before enrolling in the study. Positive cases were confirmed by RT-PCR. Only patients who were HCV positive by RT-PCR were considered to be HCV infected. Out of 593 HD cases 51 were RT-PCR positive (30 in the D groups and 21 in the ND group), and 542 were HCV negative (267 in the D group and 275 in the ND group). The prevalence of HCV infection in the D group was 10.1% (range: 4.6% – 13.2%) and was 7.1% (range: 4.2%-16.8%) in ND group. During the study conduction, 5 new HCV positive cases (1 in D group and 4 in ND group) and 169 new HCV negative cases entered the study. Information regarding age, sex, occupation (health care personnel, surgeons and dentists), HCV infected relatives, previous peritoneal dialysis, surgery during last 2 months, duration of hemodialysis, number of blood product transfusions, history of organ transplantation, and the causes of ESRD was collected. The obtained history of IV drug abuse, tattooing, and multiple sex partners was not reliable.

442 patients (254 cases in the D and 192 in the ND group) were followed for 9 months (first follow up population). 281 patients (160 cases in the D and 121 cases in the ND group) who remained within our study were followed for an additional 9 months (Second follow up population). Histories of surgeries or blood product transfusion were obtained at each follow-up; with no significant difference found between the D and the ND groups. There were no significant differences between the D and the ND groups in the number of patients lost to follow-up due to death, renal transplantation or transfer to a different hospital change (data not shown).

Patients were dialyzed for 4 or 4.5 hours, 2 or 3 times weekly, using standard HD techniques by Cuprophane and Polysulfone dialyzers. All included HD patients were HIV and HBs-Ag negative. Dialysis membranes were low-pressure and used only once and HD machines were bleached and rinsed between dialysis sessions according to the manufacturers' instruction. Socioeconomic level was essentially similar between D and ND groups. The only difference between two groups of HD centers was that in-group D, HCV positive patients were assigned to a dedicated HD machine, but in-group ND, HD HCV positive and negative patients were not assigned to dedicated machines. All machines were located in dialysis wards and not in separate rooms in both groups.

Patient to staff ratio in the D and the ND groups was not statistically different (3.1 and 3.4 respectively) and all staff members were negative for anti-HCV. To prevent

Table 1: Demographic characteristics of dedicated and non-dedicated groups.

	Cases included at	the beginning the study	ne beginning the study  Cases included during the study (new cases)	
	Dedicated	Non-dedicated	Dedicated	Non-dedicated
Total count of included cases	267	275	85	84
Age [Mean (SE)]	48.5 (0.9)	50.6 (1.0)	47.9(3.1)	51.9(1.8)
Male proportion (%)	59.9	54.2	62.2	61.9
At-risk occupation (%)	0.4*	2.6	5.1	6.1
Duration of HD [Mean (SE)]	24.9(2.8)	25.2 (4.9)	12.6(4.8)	11.8(5.8)
Previous peritoneal dialysis (%)	6.9**	2.2	2.4	2.4
IV drug abuse (%)***	0.0	1.3	3.9	1.2
Surgery during the last 2 months (%)	1.9	1.5	12.2	8.3
Transfusion during the last 2 months (%)	27.0	21.0	22.0	19.0
Previous transplantation (%)	17.7	18.2	9.5	8.3

<sup>\*</sup> P = 0.04 (Significant difference with the control group)

All other differences between the D and the ND group were not significant.

HCV transmission, educational courses were held for the staff to reemphasize the CDC hygienic guidelines; however, an interview of all nurses directly involved in patient care disclosed some deviation from CDC hygienic guidelines. The minority of nurses remembered situations when they had failed to change their gloves due to an urgent adjustment of a hemodialysis machine. A checklist was used respecting hemodialysis-specific infection control practices and new gloves were applied for each individual patient. Nevertheless, masks, aprons and protective glasses were not universally used. In all centers, all patients had specific dialysis stations assigned to them, and chairs and beds were cleaned after each use. Handling and storage of medications and hand washing were not done in the same or adjacent areas to those where used equipment or blood samples were handled. One of the ND centers was excluded from the study due to nonadherence to CDC hygienic guidelines in the first months of the study.

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 10.5 software. Comparisons between groups were made by the chisquare test method for categorical variables and by the ttest for quantitative variables.

#### **Results**

The mean age was 49.5 years (range from 12–84), 58.7% were male, and the mean HD duration was 21.6 months. The etiology of end-stage renal disease was hypertension in 36% followed by diabetes in 28% and glomerulone-phritis in 10.5%. 15.5% of patients in the dialysis centers had a one-time history of kidney transplantation, and 2.2% had undergone transplantation twice. The demographic data for two groups is illustrated in table 1.

In the first follow-up period, the incidence of HCV infection was 1.6% and 4.7% in the dedicated and the non-dedicated groups (p = 0.05). In the second follow-up period, the incidence was 1.3% in the dedicated and 5.7% in the non-dedicated groups (p < 0.05) (table 2).

#### **Discussion**

The possibility of an intradialytic spread of HCV appeared to be very low and the treatment of HCV infected patients with dedicated machines was not strictly required [23-26]. Although there is no consensus regarding machine dedication between HCV non-infected and HCV infected patients, we found that using dedicated HD machines in both follow-up periods has an important role in reducing HCV transmission. Similar results have been shown previously. Low prevalence of HCV infection (HCV antibodies) in a HD unit in Istanbul (4.7%) showed that patient isolation and use of dedicated dialysis machines for seropositive patients decrease the transmission of HCV infection in HD centers [27]. Data derived from another study in Turkey demonstrated that nosocomial spread of HCV in HD units in which both seropositive and seronegative patients were treated together were higher than that of units with dedicated machines [28]. A study in Lebanon has shown that infection by HCV may be dialysis machine-related, rather than transfusion-related [29]. Another study from Portugal also demonstrated that the incidence of HCV infection was lowest in units that used dedicated machines or dedicated rooms for anti-HCVpositive patients [30]. Genotyping analysis in a molecular study confirmed that implementation of rigorous hygienic routines and introduction of dedicated rooms and machines for HCV-infected patients are important measures for effective control of HCV infection in a hemodialysis environment [31]. Findings from a study conducted

<sup>\*\*</sup> P = 0.009 (Significant difference with the control group)

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> History of IV drug abuse was not ascertained.

	First follow-up		Second follow-up	
	Positive No (%)	Negative No (%)	Positive No (%)	Negative No (%)
Dedicated	4(1.6)	250(98.4)	2 (1.3)	158 (98.7)
Non-dedicated	9(4.7)	183(95.3)	7 (5.8)	114 (94.2)
P value	= 0.05		<0.05	

Table 2: Incidence of HCV positive (PCR) cases in dedicated and non-dedicated groups in the first and second follow-up.

in Shiraz, Iran, where 5.5% of patients were anti-HCV positive indicate that cross-infection by dialysis machines was mainly responsible for HCV infection. This study also reemphasized that cross infection through dialysis machines, rather than transfusion of blood products was the priming mode of transmission of hepatitis C virus among HD patients [15].

Some authors recommended that it is sufficient to treat every dialysis patient as potentially infectious, strictly adhering to the "universal precautions for prevention of HCV transmission", to prevent the spread of HCV in dialysis units [32,33] and isolation of HCV-infected dialysis patients and use of dedicated machines are unjustified [34]. P. Gilli et al demonstrated that machine separation in the presence of strict application of hygienic precautions did not reduce HCV transmission [35]. In agreement to this report, simpler measures such as the observance of Universal Precautions (UP), continuous training of the care staff and the use of anti-HCV positive patients personal instruments which can stop the diffusion of HCV infection in HD centers [36] have been mentioned.

In our study population, the prevalence of HCV infection was approximately the same in both groups at the beginning of the study, but significantly lower incidence of HCV infection in D group may show that machine dedication strategies can be effective to reduce HCV transmission at least in our HD centers.

#### **Conclusions**

Considering the prevalence of HCV infection and adherence to adequate infection control measures, HD machine dedication may help to decrease transmission of HCV infection in our dialysis units.

However rigorous implementation of precaution measures remains a cornerstone for prevention of HCV transmission among patients undergoing maintenance hemodialysis, but as unpredictable accidents can always take place in hemodialysis units; machine dedication may play a more important role in prevention of HCV transmission. Further studies are needed to evaluate the possi-

ble roles of machine dedication in the presence of strict adherence to hygienic precautions.

#### **Authors' contributions**

Dr AA Shamshirsaz designed the draft questionnaires and study protocol, managed the coordination of the surveys and drafted the manuscript. . Dr. Kamgar participated in drafting the manuscript and data collection, coordinated the study and designed the protocol. Dr Bekheirnia conceived of and designed the study, performed the statistical analysis, drafted the manuscript and helped design the protocol. Dr Bouzari helped draft the manuscript, participated in statistical analysis and managed paraclinical surveys. Dr Habibzadeh drafts the manuscript, participated in statistical analysis and helped in data collection and physical examination. Dr Pourzahedgilani participated in physical exam, filled out questionnaires and searched scientific sources. Dr V Broumand participated in drafting the manuscript. Dr Moradi participated in statistical analysis. Dr. Ayazi, Dr. Hashemi, Dr. A.H Shamshirsaz, Dr. Borghei and Dr. Haghighi took part in physical exams and filling questionnaires. Dr. B Broumand facilitated the study design and progress.

#### **Acknowledgments**

This study is funded by Charity Foundation for Special Diseases (CFSD). The authors would like to gratefully acknowledge the kind assistance of Dr. Seyed Mahmoud Tabatabaie (research and education deputy of CFSD) and his help, support, suggestions, encouragement and devotion to this project. We would not be able to finish this project without his supports and comments. We also would like to thank Dr. Bagher Larijani, Dr. Nobakht Haghighi, Dr. Mooraki, Dr. Ataiepour, Dr. Nejadgashti, Dr. Atabak, Dr. Najafi, Dr. Nasrollahi, Dr. Hakemi, and Dr. H. Najmabadi.

The authors also wish to thank Pasteur Institute and Dr. Kariminejad lab for performing the laboratory assays.

#### References

- Recommendations for prevention and control of hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection and HCV related chronic disease. Centers for disease control and prevention. MMWR Recomm Rep. 1998, 47:1-39.
- Alter MJ, Coleman PJ, Alexander WJ, Kramer E, Miller JK, Mandel E, Hadler SC, Margolis HS: Importance of heterosexual activity in the transmission of hepatitis B and non-A non-B hepatitis. JAMA 1989, 262:1201-1205.
- Ruiz-Moreno M, Leal-Orozco A, Millan A: Hepatitis C virus infection in children. J hepatol 1999, 31:S124-S129.

- 4. Rosen HR: Acquisition of hepatitis C by a conjunctival splash. Am | Infect Control 1997, 25:242-247.
- Sartori M, La Terra G, Aglietta M, Manzin A, Navino C, Verzetti G: Transmission of hepatitis C via blood splash into conjunctiva. Scand | Infect Dis 1993, 25:270-271.
- Knoll A, Helmig M, Peters O, Jilg W: Hepatitis C virus in a pediatric oncology ward: analysis an outbreak and review of the literature. Laboratory investigation 2001, 81(3):251-262.
- Bronowicki JP, Venard V, Botte C, Monhoven N, Gastin I, Choné L, Hudziak H, Rhin B, Delanoë C, LeFaou A, Bigard MA, Gaucher P: Patient to patient transmission of hepatitis C virus during colonoscopy. N Engl J Med 1997, 337:237-240.
- Alter MJ: Epidemiolgy of hepatitis C in the West. Semin Liver Dis 1995, 15:5-14.
- Halfon P, Khiri H, Feryn JM, Sayada C, Chanas M, Ouzan D: Prospective virologic follow-up of hepatitis C infection in a dialysis center. J Viral Hepatitis 1998, 5:115-121.
- Ouzan D, Halfon P, Chanas M, Khiri H, Feryn JM, Salvadori JM: Relevance of hepatitis C virus RNA detection, qualification, and genotypes in hemodialysis patients. Eur J Int Med 1997, 8:89-93.
- Khohler H: The prevalence of hepatitis C in different countries of the ERA/EDTA area. Nephrol Dial Transplant 1995, 10:468-469.
- Sanchez-Tapias JM: Nosocomial transmission of hepatitis C virus. J Hepat 1999, 31:S107-S112.
- Broumand B, Abdollah Shamshirsaz A, Kamgar M, Hashemi R, Aiazi F, Bekheirnia MR, Boozari B, Komeilian Z, Abdollah Shamshirsaz AH, Tabatabaiee MR, Broumand V: Prevalence of hepatitis C infection and its risk factors in hemodialysis patients in Tehran. The Saudi Arabian Journal of Dialysis and Transplantation 2002, 13(4):467-472.
- Nobakht Haghighi A, Zali M R, Nowroozi A: Hepatitis C antibody and related risk factors in hemodialysis patients in Iran. J Am Soc Nephrology 2001, 12:233A.
- Rais-Jalali G, Hakjehdehi P: Anti-HCV seropositivity among hemodialysis patients of Iranian origin. Nephrol Dial Transplant 1999, 14:2055-56.
- Allander T, Medin C, Jacobson SH, Grillner L, Perssonn MAA: Hepatitis C transmission in a haemodialysis unit: Molecular evidence for spread of virus among patients not sharing equipment. J Med Virol 1994, 43:415-419.
- Katsoulidou A, Paraskevis D, Kalapothaki V, Arvanitis D, Karayiannis P, Hadjiconstantiou V, Hatzakis A: Molecular epidemiology of a hepatitis C virus outbreak in a haemodialysis unit. Multicentre Haemodialysis Cohort Study on Viral Hepatitis. Nephrol Dial Transplant 1999, 14:1188-1194.
- 18. Di Lallo D, Miceli M, Petrosillo N, Perucci CA, Moscatelli M: Risk factors of hepatitis C virus infection in patient on haemodialysis: A multivariate analysis based on a dialysis register in Central Italy. Eur J Epidemiol 1999, 15:11-14.
- Simon N, Courouce M, Lemarrec N, Trepo C, Ducamp S: A twelveyear natural history of hepatitis C virus infection in haemodialyzed patients. Kidney Int 1994, 46:504-511.
- Okuda K, Hayashi H, Kobayashi S, Irie Y: Mode of hepatitis C infection not associated with blood transfusion among chronic haemodialysis patients. J Hepatol 1995, 23:28-31.
- Gilli P, Moretti M, Soffritti S, Marchi N, Malacarne F, Bedani PL, De Paoli Vitali E, Fiocchi O, Menini C: Non-A, non-B hepatitis and anti-HCV antibodies in dialysis patients. Int J Artif Organs 1990, 13:737-741.
- Zali Mohammad Reza, Raoufi Mohammad, Nowroozi Azita: The prevalence of hepatitis C in normal population of 19–45 years old in Iran. 10th international symposium on viral hepatitis and liver disease, Center of Disease Control, Atlanta, Georgia. USA . April 10–13, 2000
- Simon N, Courouce AM, Lemarrec N, Trepo C, Ducamp S: A twelve year natural history of hepatitis C virus infection in hemodialyzed patients. Kidney Int 1994, 46:504-511.
- McLaughlin K, Cameron S, Good T, McCruden E, Ferguson J, Davidson F, Simmonds P, Mactier R, McMillan M: Nosocomial transmission of hepatitis C virus within a British dialysis center. Nephrol Dial Transplant 1997, 12:304-309.
- 25. Le Pogam S, Le Chapois D, Christen R, Dubois F, Barin F, Goudeau A: Hepatitis C in a hemodialysis unit: Molecular evidence for nosocomial transmission. J Clin Microbiol 1998, 36:3040-3043.

- Alfurayh O, Sabeel A, AlAhdal MN, Almeshari K, Kessie G, Hamid M, Dela Cruz DM: Hand contamination with hepatitis C virus in staff looking after hepatitis C positive hemodialysis patients. Am J Nephrol 2000, 20:103-106.
- Harmankaya O, Cetin B, Obek A, Seber E: Low prevalence of hepatitis C virus infection in hemodialysis units: effect of isolation. Ren Fail 2002, 24(5):639-44.
- 28. Taskapan H, Oymak O, Dogukan A, Utas C: Patient to patient transmission of hepatitis C virus in hemodialysis units. Clin Nephrol 2001, 55(6):477-81.
- Abdelnour GE, Matar GM, Sharara HM, Abdelnoor AM: Detection of anti-hepatitis C virus antibodies and hepatitis C virus RNA in Lebanese hemodialysis patients. Eur J Epidemiol 1997, 13(8):863-7.
- Dos Santos JP, Loureiro A, Cendoroglo Neto M, Pereira BJ: Impact of dialysis room and reuse strategies on the incidence of hepatitis C virus infection in haemodialysis units. Nephrol Dial Transplant 1996, 11(10):2017-22.
- Semé K, Poljak M, Zuzec-Resek S, Debeljak M, Dovc P, Koren S: Molecular evidence for nosocomial spread of two different hepatitis C virus strains in one hemodialysis unit. Nephron 1997, 77:273-278.
- Centers for Disease Control: What control measures should be taken when hemodialysis patients are suspected of having non A, non B hepatitis? Atlanta, CDC, No 49: Hepatitis Surveillance Report 1985:3-4.
- Gilli P, Moretti M, Soffritti S, Menini C: Anti-HCV positive patients in dialysis units. Lancet 1990, 336:243-244.
- Froio N, Nicastri E, Comandini U, Cherubini C, Felicioni R, Solmone M, Di Giulio S, Petrosillo N: Contamination by Hepatitis B and C Viruses in the Dialysis Setting. American Journal of Kidney Diseases, 2003, 42:546-550.
- Gilli P, Soffritti S, De Paoli Vitali E, Bedani PL: Prevention of Hepatitis C Virus in Dialysis Units. Nephron 1995, 70:301-306.
- 36. Cerrai T, Michelassi S, Ierpi C, Toti G, Zignego AL, Lombardi M: Universal precautions and dedicated machines as cheap and effective measures to control HCV spread. EDTNA ERCA J 1998, 24(2):43-48.

#### **Pre-publication history**

The pre-publication history for this paper can be accessed here:

http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2369/5/13/prepub

### Publish with **Bio Med Central** and every scientist can read your work free of charge

"BioMed Central will be the most significant development for disseminating the results of biomedical research in our lifetime."

Sir Paul Nurse, Cancer Research UK

Your research papers will be:

- available free of charge to the entire biomedical community
- peer reviewed and published immediately upon acceptance
- cited in PubMed and archived on PubMed Central
- yours you keep the copyright

Submit your manuscript here: http://www.biomedcentral.com/info/publishing\_adv.asp

