## **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

# Immunosuppressive therapy in children with primary nephrotic syndrome: single center experience, Karachi, Pakistan

Moorani *et al. BMC Nephrology* (2019) 20:239 https://doi.org/10.1186/s12882-019-1347-5

Khemchand Netaram Moorani<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Harnam Moolchand Hotchandani<sup>2</sup>, Aasia Mohammad Zubair<sup>1,2</sup>, Neelesh Chander Lohana<sup>2</sup> and Nanga Ram Veerwani<sup>2</sup>

### Abstract

**Background:** Majority of children with nephrotic syndrome are steroid sensitive, but treatment of difficult to treat nephrotic (frequent relapsing, steroid dependent and steroid resistant) syndrome is challenging. Low dose steroid, levamisole, cyclophosphamide (CPM), mycophenolate mofetil (MMF) and calcineurin inhibitors (CNIs) are the common options of treatment.

Objective of the study was to determine the response to steroid and alternative immunosuppressive agents (ISAs) in children with difficult nephrotic syndrome (DNS).

**Methods:** This is a retrospective cohort study of 176 children with DNS, managed over 12 years at The Kidney Center-Postgraduate Training Institute, Karachi- Pakistan from 2005 to 2017.

Initial episode was treated with daily oral prednisolone (OP) for 4–8 weeks followed by alternate day OP for 12–24 weeks. Subsequently low dose OP, levamisole (Leva)and cyclophosphamide was used for frequent relapsing (FR)/ steroid dependent (SD). All with initial steroid resistance and non- responders to leva and or cyclophosphamide were biopsied and treated with CNIs and MMF. Data was analyzed using descriptive statistics.

**Results:** There were 130(73.86%) children with FR/SD and 46(26.13%) with SRNS. All children with SR (46) and 86 with FR/SD were biopsied. Minimal change disease (60.60%) and focal segmental glomerulosclerosis (FSGS 23%) were the two common lesions. Majority (73.86%) received single OP whereas divided doses were administered in 26.13% cases. Daily OP was used for 4, 6 and 8 weeks in 61.36,28.4 and10.22% respectively. Steroids were tapered over 3 (31.81%),4 (52.27%) and 6 months (15.90%). Levamisole, CPM, cyclosporin (CS) and MMF were used sequentially in 45, 54.23, 50 and 20% respectively. Combination of MMF and CS was used in 11.29% of cases.

Levamisole was effective in 80%, CPM induced complete remission (CR, 57.77%) or partial remission (PR, 22.22%), CS induced CR 46.59% and PR 39.77%. MMF showed PR and CR 69 and 12.82% respectively. At last follow up, 46% were maintaining remission while off treatment, whereas 35% are maintaining remission on therapy,10.23% lost- to-follow, 5. 68% progressed to chronic kidney disease. Mortality was 2.84% and it was due to infection and uremia.

**Conclusion:** Majority had steroid sensitive MCD. Levamisole and cyclophosphamide were effective in maintaining remission in FR/ SD. FSGS was responsible for resistance to steroid and alternative ISAs. Cyclosporin was effective in inducing remission in SRNS. Mortality was less than 3%.

**Keywords:** Nephrotic syndrome, Minimal change disease, Oral prednisolone, Levamisole, Cyclophosphamide, Cyclosporin, Mycophenolate mofitil

\* Correspondence: khemchandn@hotmail.com

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pediatric Nephrology, National Institute of Child Health

(NICH), Jinnah Sindh Medical University, Karachi, Pakistan

<sup>2</sup>Department of Pediatric Nephrology, The Kidney Center Postgraduate

Training Institute (TKC-PGTI), Karachi, Sindh 75500, Pakistan





**Open Access** 



#### Background

Nephrotic syndrome (NS) is a chronic relapsing disease with good long-term outcome. There are lot of regional practice variations in the treatment of nephrotic syndrome. Historically, International Study of Kidney Disease in Children (ISKDC) in early 70s recommended daily oral steroid  $60 \text{ mg/m}^2$  for 4 weeks followed by  $40 \text{ mg}/\text{m}^2$  on alternate day for 4 weeks [1]. Subsequently use of high dose steroid  $(60 \text{ m}^2/\text{day})$  for 6 weeks followed by 40 mg/m<sup>2</sup> on alternate day for 6 weeks showed reduction in frequency of relapses and steroid dependency [2]. Kidney Disease Initiative Global Outcome (KDIGO) guidelines (2012) also suggested same dose with more flexibility of using oral prednisolone (OP)  $60 \text{ mg/m}^2/\text{day}$  for 4–6 weeks followed by tapering over 2-5 months [3]. However, more recent randomized controlled trials have shown that short course (2-3 months) treatment with OP is neither inferior to long course (4-6 months) nor associated with increased risk of frequent relapses and steroid dependency [4-6]. More than 85-90% of children are initial steroid sensitive and achieve remission within 4-6 weeks and 10-15% behave as initial steroid resistant (SR) [1, 3, 7].

About 60–80% of steroid responder develop relapses and 40–60% will become frequent relapser (FR) and 30% become steroid dependent (SD). Patients with FR, SD and SR are known as difficult nephrotic syndrome (DNS) since these require alternate immunosuppressive strategies to avoid steroid toxicity, severe infections, hypertension and acute kidney injury or chronic kidney disease (CKD). That is why difficult nephrotic syndrome with recurrent or persistent nephrotic range proteinuria has been considered as CKD [3, 7, 8].

Management of DNS is challenging. There are multiple strategies for management of children with FR/SDNS, including use of low dose OP on alternate day for prolonged duration, use of levamisole (LEVA) along with alternate day low dose OP, cyclophosphamide (CPM) for 2-3 months, mycophenolate mofetil (MMF) and calcineurin inhibitors (CNIs) like cyclosporine or tacrolimus [9–12]. CNIs are the treatment of choice for SRNS and MMF is an alternative if serum creatinine is raised [3, 7, 8, 12]. Rituximab (anti-CD 20) has been the last sword for difficult SD and rarely used in children with SR [13]. Children with SR and SD should undergo renal biopsy for diagnosis, before starting CNIs and to assign long term prognosis; the two most common biopsy findings are minimal change disease (MCD) in 85% of steroid sensitive and focal segmental glomerulosclerosis (FSGS) in SRNS [14, 15].

There are various studies from developing countries on different aspects of nephrotic syndrome but there was no study from Pakistan in pediatric population from a single center on treatment outcome of children with difficult nephrotic syndrome [16, 17]. Current study highlights the long-term experience of treating children with DNS using various immunosuppressive agents (ISAs) at Tertiary Care Center, over 12 years period from Karachi, Pakistan. The objective of the study was to determine response to OP in initial episode of NS and to alternate immunosuppressive agents (ISAs) in children with FR/SD and SRNS.

#### Methods

This was a retrospective cohort comprising of 176 patients with DNS who were treated sequentially with ISAs over 12 years from 2005 to 2017 at The Kidney Center-Postgraduate Training Institute (TKC-PGTI), Karachi-Pakistan. TKC-PGTI is a private tertiary care center with facilities of nephrology, urology and dialysis services with more than 50% welfare support. Institutional ethical approval was taken and consent from individual patient or family was not required.

All children with first episode were treated with OP at  $60 \text{ mg/m}^2/\text{day}$  for 4–6 weeks followed by slow tapering over 3–6 months. Initial two relapses were treated like first episode. Further categorization was done according to number of relapses over 6–12 months and need of high dose steroid, into infrequent relapser, FR, SD or SR and managed with sequential immunosuppressive agents as defined in operational definitions and according to flowchart (Fig. 1 Flow chart of Sequential Immunosuppressive Agents in DNS) [1–3]. Children who behaved as infrequent relapsers were excluded from analysis.

Patients with FR/ SD were treated initially with LEVA 2.5 mg/kg on alternate day in two divided doses during early years and with single daily dose 2–2.5 mg/kg for 6–24 months along with low dose alternate day OP (0.25–0.5 mg/kg). If no response to LEVA, then CPM 2–3 mg/kg/day for 2–3 months with a cumulative dose of 168 mg/kg/course after initial remission with daily steroid [3]. If patients still behaved as FR/SD after use of CPM, then biopsied, and placed on either CS or MMF depending up on renal functions and drug toxicity.

All patients with initial SR were biopsied and treated according to histopathological diagnosis. MCD in SRNS was also treated with cyclophosphamide in early years due to cost issues. However, CS was used as first choice CNI, in a dose of 5 mg/kg/day in two divided doses with monitoring of clinical edema, spot urine protein creatinine ratio (suPCR) and serum creatinine (Cr) for 12 months in SDNS and then 3 mg/kg/day as maintenance dose for further 12-36 months in CS responders. Dose was reduced by 25% if serum Cr increased above 1 mg/dl or stopped if Cr remained high after one week of reduction of CS dose. Tacrolimus and MMF were used in cases who developed cosmetic CS-toxicity or resistant to CS respectively. MMF and CS were combined if either drug alone was not effective after 3-6 months. Complete blood counts and serum Cr were monitored for MMF and CS/ Tacrolimus toxicity



respectively. Response to ISAs was assessed by clinical edema and spot urine protein creatinine ratio (suPCR) and categorized as defined in operational definition [1-3].

Patients were followed - up by single pediatric nephrologist and his team.

Data including demographics, details of initial steroid therapy, type of NS according to steroid response (SD or SR), indications and outcome of biopsy, response to various ISAs and major adverse effects were collected from hospital case record and analyzed on SPSS-16. Qualitative variables like gender, type of NS and treatment outcome were represented by frequencies and percentages whereas quantitative variables like age were represented by mean  $\pm$  standard deviation.

Operational Definitions [1-3].

Nephrotic Syndrome: Combination of clinical edema, nephrotic range proteinuria (suPCR  $\geq 2$  or 3<sub>+</sub> protein on dipstick), hypoproteinemia ( $\leq 5.5$ G/dl), hypoalbuminemia ( $\leq 2.5$ G/dl) and hypercholesterolemia (> 250 mg/dl).

Frequent Relapser: Two or more than two relapses in 6 months or more than 4 in a 12 months period.

SDNS: Two consecutive relapses on steroid therapy or occurred within 14 days of switching to alternate day prednisolone.

SRNS: Persistence of edema and or proteinuria (suPCR > 2) after 4–6 weeks of OP 60 mg  $/m^2$  / day.

Complete remission (CR): Disappearance of edema and proteinuria (suPCR < 0.2)/urine dipstick nil or  $\leq 1_+$ .

Partial remission (PR): disappearance of edema but persistence of non-nephrotic range proteinuria (suPCR 0.2- < 2).

Difficult nephrotic syndrome (DNS): Frequent relapsing, SD and SR were considered as DNS.

CPM resistant NS: Persistent nephrotic range proteinuria and edema after 8–12 weeks of 2-3 mg/kg/day of CPM.

CS resistant NS: Persistent nephrotic range proteinuria and edema after 3 months of 5 mg/kg/day of cyclosporin.

Levamisole response: Effective if  $\leq 1$  relapse in 12 months on LEVA treatment and not effective if child had  $\geq$  two relapses within first 6 months or  $\geq$  three relapses in 12 months period while on LEVA.

#### Results

Our study cohort comprises of 176 patients with DNS (FR, SD and SR) who were managed sequentially with different ISAs after initial induction of remission with OP. Mean age was  $4.78 \pm 3.23$  years. There were 100(56.81%) male and 76 female. Base line demographics, clinical, biochemical and urinary characteristics of study population are shown in Table 1.

This table shows that edema was the most common clinical presentation (98%) followed by hypertension (9%) and gross hematuria (2.8%). Biochemical parameters at initial presentation were hypoproteinemia ( $4.53 \pm 0.81$  g/dl), hypoalbuminemia ( $2.0 \pm 0.58$  g/dl), hypercholesterolemia ( $369.93 \pm 114$  mg/dl) and it was nephrotic range proteinuria (suPCR > 9 ± 6.52). Baseline renal functions were normal (serum Cr level =  $0.37 \pm 0.30$  mg/dl) except in two cases.

Table 2 shows the pattern of initial OP treatment and subsequent course of study population. Majority of patients (73.86%) received single morning dose whereas 26% received divided doses. Daily OP was administered for 4,6 and 8 weeks in 61.36, 28.4 and 10% respectively.

| Table 1  | Baseline  | characteristics | of | children | with | difficult |
|----------|-----------|-----------------|----|----------|------|-----------|
| nephroti | c syndroi | me              |    |          |      |           |

| Anthropomeasurements of study p                                                          | population <i>n</i> = 176  |           |  |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------|--|
| Variable                                                                                 | Mean ± SD                  | Min – Max |  |
| Age (years)                                                                              | $4.78 \pm 3.23$            | 1-15      |  |
| Weight (kg)                                                                              | 17.97 ± 8.99               | 7.5–51    |  |
| Height (cm)                                                                              | $98.68 \pm 23.60$          | 72–166    |  |
| BSA (msq)                                                                                | $0.69 \pm 0.25$            | 0.4–1.8   |  |
| Clinical presentation of children w                                                      | ith difficult nephrotic sy | ndrome    |  |
| Feature                                                                                  | Ν                          | %         |  |
| male                                                                                     | 100                        | 56.81     |  |
| Edema                                                                                    | 174                        | 98.86     |  |
| Hypertension                                                                             | 16                         | 9.09      |  |
| Gross hematuria                                                                          | 5                          | 2.84      |  |
| Renal failure                                                                            | 2                          | 1.14      |  |
| Diagnostic biochemistry and urinary PCR in children with difficult<br>nephrotic syndrome |                            |           |  |
| Variable                                                                                 | Mean ± SD                  | Min – Max |  |
| Total protein (g/dl)                                                                     | 4.53 ± 0.81                | 2.7-7.9   |  |
| Serum albumin (g/dl)                                                                     | $2.00 \pm 0.58$            | 1-4.5     |  |
| Serum cholesterol (mg/dl)                                                                | 369.93 ± 114.25            | 5-730     |  |
| Serum creatinine (mg/dl)                                                                 | $0.37\pm0.30$              | 0.06-2.30 |  |
| Spot urine- PCR (mg/mg)                                                                  | 9.01 ± 6.52                | 0.2–39.5  |  |
|                                                                                          |                            |           |  |

PCR Protein Creatinine Ratio

| Table 2 | Patte   | rn of c  | corticoster | oid therap | by and | subsequent |
|---------|---------|----------|-------------|------------|--------|------------|
| outcome | e in di | ifficult | nephrotic   | syndrome   | e (n = | 176)       |

| outcome in anneait neprilotic syr                    | (1 - 1/0)          |             |  |  |  |
|------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------|--|--|--|
| Parameter                                            | Number             | Percentage  |  |  |  |
| Dose of initial oral steroid treatment               |                    |             |  |  |  |
| Prednisolone (60 mg/m²/day)                          | 176                | 100         |  |  |  |
| Timing of daily or                                   | al prednisolone    |             |  |  |  |
| Single morning dose                                  | 130                | 73.86       |  |  |  |
| Divided doses                                        | 46                 | 26.13       |  |  |  |
| Duration of daily                                    | prednisolone       |             |  |  |  |
| 4 weeks                                              | 108                | 61.36       |  |  |  |
| 6 weeks                                              | 50                 | 28.40       |  |  |  |
| 8 weeks                                              | 18                 | 10.22       |  |  |  |
| Total duration of ini                                | tial prednisolone  |             |  |  |  |
| 3 months                                             | 57                 | 32.38       |  |  |  |
| 4 months                                             | 92                 | 52.27       |  |  |  |
| 6 months                                             | 27                 | 15.34       |  |  |  |
| Categories based on steroid respo                    | onse and frequency | of relapses |  |  |  |
| Steroid sensitive                                    | 130                | 73.86       |  |  |  |
| Frequent relapser                                    | 75                 | 57.69       |  |  |  |
| Steroid dependent                                    | 55                 | 42.30       |  |  |  |
| Steroid resistant                                    | 46                 | 26.13       |  |  |  |
| Steroid toxicity in 105 children with FR/SD and SRNS |                    |             |  |  |  |
| Cushingoid                                           | 81                 | 46.02       |  |  |  |
| Severe infection                                     | 18                 | 10.22       |  |  |  |
| Steroid psychosis                                    | 3                  | 1.7         |  |  |  |
| Hypertension                                         | 3                  | 1.7         |  |  |  |

Tapering of steroid therapy on alternate day varied from 3 months (31.81%) to 6 months (15.9%).

Table 2 also shows that majority of study population was steroid responsive (73.86%) whereas 26% were steroid resistant. Among the steroid responsive, 57.69% were frequent relapser and 42.3% were SD. Steroid induced cushingoid appearance and severe infections were the two major complications observed in 46 and 10% of cases respectively.

Table 3 shows the spectrum of histopathological diagnosis in children with DNS. Forty- six with SRNS and 86 with FR/SD underwent renal biopsy during the study period. MCD and FSGS were the most common histopathological diagnosis in FR/SD and SRNS; found in 79.06% and in 45.65% respectively. Over all, the most common histopathological diagnosis in 132 cases with DNS was MCD (60.60%) followed by FSGS (22.72%) and others (16.68%).

Details of sequential immunosuppressive therapies and their outcome in DNS is shown in Table 4. Sequentially, LEVA, CPM, CS and MMF were used in 55(31.25%), 90(51.13%), CS 88(50%) and 39(22%) cases respectively. Combination of CS and MMF was

| Histopathological diagnosis | FR/SD <i>N</i> (%) | SRNS <i>N</i> (%) | Total N (%) |  |  |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Number of patients (%)      | 130 (73.86)        | 46 (26.13)        | 176 (100)   |  |  |
| Renal biopsy status         |                    |                   |             |  |  |
| - Done                      | 86 (66.15)         | 46 (100)          | 132 (75)    |  |  |
| - Not Done                  | 44 (33.84)         | 00 (0)            | 44 (25)     |  |  |
| Minimal change disease      | 68 (79.06)         | 12 (26.08)        | 80 (60.60)  |  |  |
| FSGS                        | 9 (10.46)          | 21 (45.65)        | 30 (22.72)  |  |  |
| MPGN                        | 3 (3.48)           | 7 (15.21)         | 10 (7.75)   |  |  |
| MGN                         | 3 (3.48)           | 6 (13.04)         | 9 (6.81)    |  |  |
| IgM Nephropathy             | 3 (3.84)           | 0 (0)             | 3 (2.27)    |  |  |

**Table 3** Spectrum of histopathological diagnosis in 176

 children with difficult nephrotic syndrome

used in 20 cases (11.29%) whereas tacrolimus with or without combination of MMF was used in 11 cases. Fifteen received two or more than two courses of CS.

Levamisole was offered to 79 children with FR/SD but 24 could not complete 6 months so data of 55(FR/SD = 41/14) were analyzed. LEVA was effective in maintaining remission in 80% cases and more so in frequent relapsers. There was pancytopenia and allergic rashes each in one.

Cyclophosphamide was used in 96 children with DNS, but it was discontinued in 6 due to severe infections or bone marrow suppression (BMS). CPM induced CR in 57.77% and PR in 22.22% whereas 20% were resistant to CPM and 6 with initial SR (6/20) were also resistant to CPM. Adverse effects of CPM were severe infections including disseminated chicken pox (9), BMS (5), alopecia (3) and hyperpigmented nail beds (3).

Table 4 shows that CS induced CR and PR in 47 and 40% respectively. Fifteen (17%) were CS dependent since they required more than one course and 12(13.63%) were resistant to CS and majority were initial steroid resistant cases. Eleven (12.5%) patients required tacrolimus for CS -associated cosmetic toxicity. Main adverse effects were gum hyperplasia (5), hypertrichosis (6), renal dysfunction (7) and deafness (1).

MMF was effective in induction of PR in 69.23%, CR in 13 and 33% were resistant to MMF. Similarly, it induced PR in 60% when combined with CS. Enteric coated formulation was well tolerated.

Overall, outcome at last follow up (Table 5) showed that 81(46%) children were maintaining either CR (76) or PR (5) and are off treatment, whereas 62(35%) are maintaining remission on therapy. We lost to follow 18(10.23%) children,10 progressed to CKD/ESRD due to FSGS (5), MGN (2), MCD (2), MPGN (1) and one with MCD developed CS nephrotoxicy due to prolonged use for more than 12 months without monitoring. Mortality was observed in 2.84% and it was due to infection in 03

| Response to               | o levamisole in chilo | lren with FR/SD( <i>n</i> | = 55)                  |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|
| Outcome N (%)             | FR 41 (74.54%)        | SD 14 (25.46)             | Total 55 (100)         |
| Effective                 | 34                    | 10                        | 44 (80)                |
| Not effective             | 7                     | 4                         | 11 (20)                |
| Response to cy            | clophosphamide in     | children with DN          | S (n = 90)             |
| Outcome N (%)             | FR/SD 70 (77.77)      | SRNS 20 (22.22)           | 90 (100)               |
| Complete<br>remission     | 45                    | 07                        | 52 (57.77)             |
| Partial remission         | 13                    | 07                        | 20 (22.22)             |
| Resistant                 | 12                    | 06                        | 18 (20)                |
| Response to               | o cyclosporin in chil | dren with DNS ( <i>n</i>  | = 88)                  |
| Outcome N (%)             | FR/SD 49 (55.68)      | SRNS 39 (44.31)           | 88 (100)               |
| Complete<br>remission     | 30                    | 11                        | 41 (46.59)             |
| Partial remission         | 18                    | 17                        | 35 (39.72)             |
| Resistant                 | 1                     | 11                        | 12 (13.63)             |
| Response to myco          | ophenolate mofetil    | in children with D        | ONS (n = 39)           |
| Outcome N (%)             | FR/SD 21 (53.84)      | SRNS 18 (46.15)           | 39 (100)               |
| Complete<br>remission     | 4                     | 1                         | 5 (12.82)              |
| Partial remission         | 16                    | 11                        | 27 (69.23)             |
| Resistant                 | 7                     | 6                         | 13 (33.33)             |
| Response to combin        | ation of CS and MN    | 1F in children witl       | n DNS ( <i>n</i> = 20) |
| Outcome N (%)             | FR/SD 12 (60)         | SRNS 8 (40)               | 20 (100)               |
| Complete<br>remission     | 4                     | 2                         | 6 (30)                 |
| Partial remission         | 7                     | 5                         | 12 (60)                |
| Resistant                 | 1                     | 1                         | 2 (10)                 |
| CS dependent <sup>a</sup> | 9                     | 6                         | 15 (17.04)             |

 $a \ge -2$  courses

children with MCD and uremia each one secondary to MPGN and FSGS.

#### Discussion

This is a first long-term study in a single center describing the personal practice variation in the management of difficult nephrotic syndrome in children over more than 12 years (2005–2017) from Pakistan. This study describes the various aspects of management of DNS including the clinical and biochemical characteristics, varying steroid protocols (dosage, timing and schedule of administration, duration of daily and alternate day steroid therapy), patient's initial and subsequent behavior to steroids and frequency of relapses based out come in the form of either FR/SD or

| Table 4 Outcome of sequential  | alternative immunosuppressive |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| therapies in children with DNS |                               |

| Long-term outcome                | FR/SD N = 130 | SRNS $N = 46$ | N (%)      |
|----------------------------------|---------------|---------------|------------|
| Complete remission off treatment | 65            | 11            | 76 (43.18) |
| Partial remission off treatment  | 0             | 5             | 5 (2.86)   |
| Complete remission on treatment  | 34            | 8             | 42 (23.86) |
| Partial remission on treatment   | 12            | 8             | 20 (11.36) |
| CKD/ESRD                         | 4             | 7             | 11 (6.25%) |
| FSGS                             | 0             | 5             |            |
| MPGN                             | 0             | 1             |            |
| MGN                              | 2             | 0             | 10 (5.68%) |
| MCD                              | 1             | 1             |            |
| MCD <sub>+</sub> CS-Toxicity     | 1             | 0             |            |
| Lost to follow                   | 10            | 8             | 18 (10.23) |
| Expired                          |               |               | 5 (2.84%)  |
| Uremia                           | 0             | 2             |            |
| Infection                        | 2             | 1             |            |

**Table 5** Long-term outcome of immunosuppressive therapies in children with difficult nephrotic syndrome(n = 176)

steroid resistance at initial or later disease course. In addition, the spectrum of histopathological diagnosis in 132 children with DNS and use of alternative immunosuppressive therapies, associated adverse events and long-term outcome including mortality was looked vigorously.

Our results show that majority of patients (73.86%) were initial steroid sensitive which ultimately behaved as FR/SD requiring sequential multi-strategic therapies and 26.13% of patients were either early or late SR. This is consistent with the report from ISKDC and KDIGO [1, 3]. Little higher frequency of steroid sensitivity (>90%) has been reported in different studies using different duration of steroid therapy [8, 12]. This may be explained on basis of high prevalence of intercurrent infections or genetic and racial variation which may affect the response since in our study, there was lot of ethnicity and linguistic variation. Though, these socioeconomic, nutritional and prevalent infections were not investigated, but authors assume that these were important factors affecting practice variation and outcome.

Though, in majority (73.86%) of children, initial episode was treated with single morning dose of OP but significant number (26%) also received divided dosage regimen (Table 2). This divided dosage practice was in earlier period of study and in later period it become common and standard practice to use single morning dose. Similarly, duration of initial daily steroid and alternate day prednisolone also varied accordingly; suggesting the changing practice of treating nephrologist as well as diversity of patients with different linguistic and distant residential location as well as time and money to travel, may have influenced the duration of steroid resulting in high frequency of steroid toxicity (46%). This is not different from the international literature which reveal that either 4–6 weeks daily steroid or use of methyl prednisolone or extending duration to 8 weeks for defining steroid resistance also contribute for steroid toxicity [12, 18]. Though, many guidelines have been developed more recently but due to lack of local guidelines, still practice variation exists considerably from time to time, center to center and depending upon experience of treating pediatrician and pediatric nephrologists [7, 17, 19].The most current evidence for treatment of initial episode suggest that OP therapy for 6 months is not superior to short course 2–3 months) with respect to reduction of the risk for relapse suggesting a significant change in standard practice [4–6, 8].

The spectrum of histopathological diagnosis in our study is consistent with local and international literature; that MCD has been the most common underlying biopsy in children with SSNS (> 80%) and FSGS in steroid resistant [14, 15].

Considering the management of DNS, sequentially, we used LEVA, CPM, CS and MMF in 31.25, 51.13, 50 and 22% cases respectively. This practice of sequential selection of various ISAs is more or less consistent with a recent report on use of ISAs in FR/SD children from Saudi Arabia in which LEVA, CPM, CS and MMF were used in 33.3,20, 21.6 and 25% respectively [17]. Since number of patients was too small in the quoted study and it was only in FR/SD so use of CPM and CS is much less than ours.

Levamisole, an immunomodulatory drug being focus of current research in FR and SD, was found to be effective in maintaining remission in almost 80% in FR/SD patients. Many recent studies including multicenter RCT have shown similar results (77% in FR/ SD [10, 18, 20–22]. Though most of studies have used alternate day

levamisole but we have used as singe alternate or daily dose for more than 2 years without significant toxicity. It was more effective in FR than in SD as shown by others [10, 21].

Cyclophosphamide is commonly used steroid sparing agent effective in inducing remission in SS and in SRNS [3, 11, 12]. We found overall short-term CR and PR in 57.77 and 22.22% respectively in children with DNS. This is much lower than 97%(CR/PR) shown in a recent local study in which CPM was used in all steroid sensitive patients [17]. Similar to our results on short -term outcome have been reported in earlier metanalysis and guidelines [3, 11]. In this study, CPM was also effective in inducing short- term CR/PR in 32% of 22 steroid resistant children. We used CPM during earlier period of study, in patients who were either non-affording for CNIs and had MCD as histopathological diagnosis or in children with raised creatinine. This is significant in developing countries since it may save the cost and delay the use of CNIs which may be a significant risk factor for long term irreversible nephrotoxicity and CKD. Since patients were biopsied before initiating CPM so all patients with MCD received CPM before CNIs. Earlier studies had shown similar percentage of response in SRNS that it may induce CR or PR in 30%-40 of SRNS [11, 12, 17, 18]. However, a most recent study has reported a higher rate of sustained remission than ours and low rate of relapse (11.2%) with use of CPM in 62 children compared to CS (relapse rate 6.2%) in 65 patients. However, the cummulative dosage of CPM in such long duration (3-6 months) use can not be compared with commonly used cummulative dose of 168 mg/course [23]. Major adverse effects in our study were severe infections (10%), BMS (5.5%) and alopecia (3.3%) are lower than reported by Lata K et al. [11]

CNIs are the most commonly used immunosuppressive agents both in FR/SD and SR and have been recommended by KDIGO as first line treatment in SRNS.CNIs are found to be effective in induction and maintenance of CR or PR remission in 40-80%, more so in FR/SD [3, 23–25]. We found that CS was effective in inducing CR and PR in 61 and 36.73% respectively in 49 children with FR/SD. Similar response rate has been reported in recent studies [24-26].CS induced CR and PR in 28 and 43.5% in our 46 children with SR. Similar response (41%) has been documented by us in FR/SD and SR-FSGS and in a recent study from China [16, 23, 26]. However, in another study comparing CPM with CS in SRNS, higher success rates (70.8%) compared to CPM (51.6%) has been reported and more so in children with MCD [18]. Adverse effects of CNIs in our study were gum hyperplasia(5.6%), hypertrichosis (6.8%), renal dysfunction (8%) and deafness(1%) are less than reported in the literature [7, 16]. However, one patient developed ESRD after CS use without monitoring.

Mycophenolate was used in children who were either CS resistant or has impaired renal functions or developed cosmetic toxicity after CS use. When used alone, MMF induced PR in 69.23% and CR in 12.8% of children with DNS. It was noted that MMF was more effective in FR/SD rather than SRNS (95% vs 66%) but not better than CS. More or less similar results have been reported by others [18, 25–27]. However, 50% of children required addition of MMF but there was no difference in response (91% vs 87%). These figures are consistent with a study comparing CS with MMF and showed that CS is superior to MMF in steroid sensitive nephrotic syndrome [28]. MMF was well tolerated without significant gastritis or BMS in our study as mentioned in the literature.

Considering long term outcome, majority (46%) of children were maintaining CR or PR remission off therapy whereas 35% were maintaining CR/PR on immunosuppressive therapy. This is outcome of cohort of DNS over 12 years which cannot be compared with either SSNS with good long- term prognosis or with SR one which may progress to CKD in more than 40% over 10 years [12].

Strength and limitations of study: Though, the current study comprised of large cohort with good long term follow up (12 years), managed by single nephrologist at a single center but it was retrospective and not comparing the response of ISAs according to histological type. Direct comparison of CPM with levamisole or CS with MMF was not attempted.

Future comparative studies on effectiveness of levamisole, CPM, MMF and CS based on histopathological diagnosis both in FR/SD and SRNS are needed.

#### Conclusion

We found that majority of patients were FR/SD among DNS and MCD was most common underlying pathological lesion. Levamisole and CPM were effective in maintaining remission in FR/SD group. FSGS was the most common cause of resistance to steroid and other alternative agents. Though, CSA was effective in inducing remission in SR, but progression to CKD occurred in 11cases. Mortality (2.84%) was mainly due to infectious or uremic complications.

#### Abbreviations

AKI: Acute kidney injury; CKD: Chronic kidney disease; CNIs: Calcineurin inhibitors; CPM: Cyclophosphamide; CR: Complete remission; CS: Cyclosporin; DNS: Difficult nephrotic syndrome; FRNS: Frequent relapsing nephrotic syndrome; FSGS: Focal segmental glomerulosclerosis; IgM NP: Immunoglobulin M Nephropathy; ISAs: Immunosuppressive agents; KDIGO: Kidney disease initiative global outcome; LEVA: Levamisole; MCD: Minimal change disease; MGN: Membranous glomerulonephritis; MMF: Mycophenolate mofetil; MPGN: Membranoproliferative glomerulonephritis; OP: Oral prednisolone; PR: Partial remission; SDNS: Steroid dependent nephrotic syndrome; SRNS: Steroid resistant nephrotic syndrome; SSNS: Steroid sensitive nephrotic syndrome; suPCR: Spot urine protein creatinine ratio; Tac: Tacrolimus

#### Acknowledgements

We acknowledge the Welfare Department of The Kidney Center Postgraduate Training Institute, Karachi for providing financial assistance to patients individually.

#### Funding

No funding was required and not taken in this study.

#### Availability of data and materials

The datasets created during and/or analyzed during the current study is available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

#### Authors' contributions

KNM has major contribution from conceptualization, manuscript writing and preparation, final editing and submission in BMC Nephrology. HMH: Literature search and referencing, data collection and write up. AMZ: Literature search and data computation. NCL: Data collection and write-up. NRV: Data collection and write-up. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Ethics approval and consent to participate

Ethical approval was taken from The Kidney Centre Ethical Review Committee (TKC-ERC-Under the auspices of Dorab Patel Post Graduate Training & Research Centre) vide reference No. 62-NEPH-012018 (EXEMPTION) titled "Immunosuppressive Therapy in Children with Difficult Nephrotic Syndrome at The Kidney Centre, Karachi, Pakistan". Consent to participate was not required from individual patients due to the retrospective nature of the study.

#### Consent for publication

Consent from individual patients is not required due to retrospective data collection.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

#### Received: 10 January 2019 Accepted: 18 April 2019 Published online: 03 July 2019

#### References

- Nephrotic syndrome in children: prediction of histopathology from clinical and laboratory characteristics at time of diagnosis. A report of the International Study of Kidney Disease in Children. Kidney Int. 1978;13(2):159–65.
- Ehrich JH, Brodehl J. Long versus standard prednisone therapy for initial treatment of idiopathic nephrotic syndrome in children. Arbeitsgemeinschaft für Pädiatrische Nephrologie. Eur J Pediatr. 1993;152(4):357–61.
- KDIGO Clinical Practice Guideline for Glomerulonephritis. Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) Glomerulonephritis Work Group. Kidney Int Suppl. 2012;(2):139–274.
- Teeninga N, Kist-van Holthe JE, van Rijswijk N, de IN M, CJW H, FMJ W, Albert J, van der Heijden JA, et al. Extending prednisolone treatment does not reduce relapses in childhood nephrotic syndrome. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2013;24(1):149–59.
- Sinha A, Saha A, Kumar M, Sharma S, Afzal K, Mehta A, et al. Extending initial prednisolone treatment in a randomized control trial from 3 to 6 months did not significantly influence the course of illness in children with steroid-sensitive nephrotic syndrome. Kidney Int. 2015;87(1):217–24.
- Yoshikawa N, Nakanishi K, Sako M, Oba MS, Mori R, Ota E, et al. A multicenter randomized trial indicates initial prednisolone treatment for childhood nephrotic syndrome for two months is not inferior to six-month treatment. Kidney Int. 2015;87(1):225–32.
- Kemper MJ, Valentin L, Husen M. Difficult-to-treat idiopathic nephrotic syndrome: established drugs, open questions and future options. Pediatr Nephrol. 2018;33:1641–9. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00467-017-3780-7.

- Hahn D, Hodson EM, Willis NS, Craig JC. Corticosteroid therapy for nephrotic syndrome in children. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2015;(3):Cd001533.
- Raja K, Parikh A, Webb H, Hothi D. Use of a low-dose prednisolone regimen to treat a relapse of steroid-sensitive nephrotic syndrome in children. Pediatr Nephrol. 2017;32:99. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00467-016-3458-6.
- Gruppen MP, Bouts AH, Jansen-van der Weide MC, Markus MP, Zurowska A, Maternik M, et al. A randomized clinical trial indicates that levamisole increases the time to relapse in children with steroid-sensitive idiopathic nephrotic syndrome. Kidney Int. 2018;93:510–8.
- Latta K, von Schnakenburg C, Ehrich JH. A meta-analysis of cytotoxic treatment for frequently relapsing nephrotic syndrome in children. Pediatric Nephrol. 2001;16:271–82.
- 12. Noone DG, lijima K, Parekh R. Idiopathic nephrotic syndrome in children. Lancet. 2018. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)30536-1.
- lijima K, Sako M, Kamei K, Nozul K. Rituximab in steroid-sensitive nephrotic syndrome: lessons from clinical trials. Pediatr Nephrol. 2018;33:1449–55. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00467-017-3746-9.
- Vivarelli M, Massella L, Ruggiero B, Emma F. Minimal change disease. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2016;12(2):332–45.
- 15. Floege J, Amann K. Primary glomerulonephritides. Lancet. 2016;387:2036-48.
- Sherali AR, Moorani KN, Chishty SH. Response to Cyclosporin in children with primary focal segmental glomerulosclerosis. Pak Pediatr J. 2010;34(1):10–4.
- Bajeer IA, Khatri S, Tresa V, Hashmi S, Mubarak M, Lanewala AA. Histopathological Spectrum and short-term outcome of treatment with cyclophosphamide in relapsing steroid-sensitive nephrotic Syndrome. J Coll Physicians Surg Pak. 2018;28(6):436–9.
- Pasini A, Aceto G, Ammenti A, Ardissino G, Azzolina V, Bettinelli A et al. Best practice guidelines for idiopathic nephrotic syndrome: recommendations versus reality. Pediatr Nephrol2015; 30(1), 91-101. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00467-014-2903-7.
- The Italian Society for Pediatric Nephrology. (SINePe) consensus document on the management of nephrotic syndrome in children: part I - diagnosis and treatment of the first episode and the first relapse. Italian J Pediatr. 2017;43:41. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13052-017-0356-x.
- Abeyagunawardena AS, Karunadasa U, Jayaweera H, Tennakoon S, Abeyagunawardena S. Efficacy of higher-dose levamisole in maintaining remission in steroid-dependent nephrotic syndrome. Pediatr Nephrol. 2017;32: 1363–7.
- Ekambaram S, Mahalingam V, Nageswaran P, Udani A, Geminiganesan S, Priyadarshini S. Efficacy of levamisole in children with frequently relapsing and steroid-dependent nephrotic Syndrome. Indian Pediatr. 2014;51:371–3.
- 22. Elmas AT, Tabel Y, Elmas ON. Long- and short-term efficacy of levamisole in children with steroid- sensitive nephrotic syndrome. Int Urol Nephrol. 2013; 45:1047–55.
- 23. Liu Y, Yang R, Yang C, Dong S, Zhu Y, Zhao M et al. Cyclophosphamide versus cyclosporin A in steroid resistant nephrotic syndrome: a retrospective study with a mean 5-year follow up. J Intern Med Res 2018;46(11):4506-17
- Pravitsitthikul N, Willis NS, Hodson EM, Craig JC. Non-corticosteroid immunosuppressive medications for steroid-sensitive nephrotic syndrome in children. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2013;(10):CD002290.
- Van Husen M, Kemper MJ. New therapies in steroid sensitive and steroidresistant idiopathic nephrotic syndrome. Pediatr Nephrol. 2011;26:881–92.
- Alsaran K, Mirza K, Al-Talhi A, Al-Kanani E. Experience with second line drugs in frequently relapsing and steroid dependent childhood nephrotic syndrome in a large Saudi center. Inter J Pediatr Adolesc Med. 2017. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpam.2017.03.002.
- Li J, Li Q, Lu J, Li Z. Immunosuppressive therapy in children with steroidresistant nephrotic Syndrome. Iran J Pediatr. 2018;8(1):e11567. https://doi. org/10.5812/ijp.11567.
- Gellermann J, Weber L, Pape L, Tonshoff B, Hoyer P, Querfeld U. Mycophenolate mofetil versus cyclosporin a in children with frequently relapsing nephrotic syndrome. J Am Soc Nephrol. 24:1689–97.