RESEARCH

Open Access



Platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio and the first occurrence of peritonitis in peritoneal dialysis patients

Ning Su^{1,7†}, Yijia Zheng^{7†}, Xing Zhang¹, Xingming Tang², Li-wen Tang², Qinqin Wang¹, Xingyu Chen¹, Xiaoyang Wang³, Yueqiang Wen⁴, Xiaoran Feng⁵, Qian Zhou⁶, Jiafan Zhou¹, Yafang Li⁸ and Sijia Shang^{1*}

Abstract

Background: Platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio (PLR) has been used as a potential biomarker of inflammation-related diseases, but its role in the peritoneal dialysis-related peritonitis (PDRP) is still uncertain. This study was aimed to investigate the association between PLR and the new-onset PDRP in peritoneal dialysis (PD) patients.

Methods: In this multicenter retrospective study, 1378 PD Chinese PD patients were recruited from four centers, who were divided into the high PLR group (HPG) and the low PLR group (LPG) according to the cutoff value of PLR. The correlation between PLR and the new-onset PDRP was assessed using the Cox regression model analysis.

Results: During follow-up, 121 new-onset PDRP events were recorded. Kaplan–Meier survival curve showed a higher risk of new-onset PDRP in the HPG (log-rank test, P < 0.001). After adjusting for confounding factors, the Cox regression model showed the risk of new-onset PDRP was higher in the HPG than that in the LPG (HR 1.689, 95%Cl 1.096–2.602, P = 0.017). Competitive risk model analysis showed that significant differences still existed between the two PLR groups in the presence of other competitive events (P < 0.001).

Conclusion: PLR is independently associated with the new-onset PDRP in PD patients.

Keywords: Platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio, Peritoneal dialysis, Peritonitis

Introduction

Peritoneal dialysis (PD) is a primary treatment for endstage renal disease (ESRD) [1]. Peritoneal dialysis-related peritonitis (PDRP) is a common and serious complication of PD patients [2]. According to the International Society for Peritoneal Dialysis (ISPD), the peritonitis rate should not be higher than 0.5 cases per patient-year [3]. However, the incidence of PDRP reported by different countries and different centers within the same country

[†]Ning Su and Yijia Zheng contributed equally to this work.

*Correspondence: shangsj@mail2.sysu.edu.cn

¹ Department of Nephrology, The Sixth Affiliated Hospital, Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou, China varies greatly [4]. According to the Peritoneal Dialysis Outcomes and Practice Patterns Study (PDOPPS), more than 0.50 per patient-year was reported in 10% of facilities [5]. PDRP is the leading cause of PD failure, which results in considerable morbidity, mortality, and health care costs [4, 6–8]. The occurrence of PDRP is related to chronic inflammation. The continuous expression of inflammation stimulates the intestinal tract, which may lead to intestinal dysfunction, bacterial translocation and infection [9]. Studies have shown that inflammatory markers IL-6 and CRP may be potential predictors of peritonitis in PD patients [10]. However, the cost of CRP and IL6 test is relatively expensive, thus limiting the application among some patients. To improve the clinical outcome of PD, there is a need for facile and affordable



© The Author(s) 2022. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

biomarkers to identify patients at risk of PDRP and to guide personalized interventions.

Platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio (PLR) is an inexpensive, replicable, and easily measurable hematological index. It is originally developed as a predictor of tumor prognosis and is associated with inflammation in cancer patients [11, 12]. Increased platelet counts and decreased lymphocyte counts have been shown to be related to both aggregation and inflammation [13]. Recent studies have found that higher PLR is associated with the inflammatory state and poor prognosis of 2019-novel coronavirus disease and sepsis [14, 15]. In dialysis and non-dialysis patients with chronic kidney disease(CKD), PLR has been reported to be associated with inflammation [16]. And some studies also have shown that PLR is associated with all-cause mortality and the prognosis of cardiovascular events in patients with CKD [16-19]. To date, no studies have revealed the association between PLR and PDRP in PD patients.

The purpose of the present study was to assess whether PLR is correlated with new-onset PDRP in PD patients.

Methods

Patients

In this multicenter retrospective study, a total of 1378 patients from four peritoneal dialysis centers in China were recruited from January 31, 2003, to February 21, 2020. All patients received continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis (CAPD). Platelet and lymphocyte counts were available in these patients. Of them, 127 were excluded for the following reasons: age younger than 18 years or older than 80 years (n = 36), PD was maintained for less than 3 months (n=42), PD was maintained for more than 10 years(n = 10), clinical evidence of active infection (n=14), history of hematological or autoimmune disease and taking glucocorticoid or immunosuppressive (n = 25). The above patients were excluded because those factors may influence the PLR level. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Sixth Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yet-Sen University (No. 2021SLYEC-177). Informed consent was obtained from all subjects and their legal guardians.

The study was performed in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Baseline investigations

Baseline demographic and clinical data were collected at the initiation of PD therapy. Laboratory indicators were collected one week before the first PD treatment in most patients, and in order to ensure the integrity of the data, the data collection time was extended to 30 days after the start of PD treatment. The same laboratory inspection machinery was used in each center. Routine blood tests were performed using automatic hematology analyzer (SysmexXN-2000 or MindrayCAL6800). Absolute blood platelet counts were divided by absolute blood lymphocyte counts to obtain the PLR. The diagnosis of PDRP was made if the patient had at least two of the following criteria according to the 2017 Internation Society for Peritoneal Dialysis (ISPD) guidelines: 1) abdominal pain with or without cloudy peritoneal dialysis effluent, with or without fever; 2) total leukocyte count $\geq 100 \times 10^6$ cells/L, with more than 50% polymorphonuclear cells in the differential count; and 3) positive Gram staining or culture of peritoneal dialysis effluent [20].

The diagnostic criteria of diabetes are based on Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes [21]. Hypertension was recorded if the patient took antihypertensive drugs or had two separate blood pressure measurements \geq 140/90 mmHg. Patients were returned quarterly to their respective centers for evaluation and were interviewed by trained nurses over the phone to assess their general condition each month [22].

Study outcome

The outcome was the first occurrence of PDRP since PD therapy. The endpoint of follow-up was the new-onset PDRP, death, transfer to hemodialysis therapy, renal transplantation, transfer to other centers, or censoring on April 01, 2020. Peritoneal dialysis patients were followed up by trained graduate students and nurses.

Statistical analysis

Kaplan–Meier curves and log-rank test were used to examine the difference in the cumulative hazard among the two groups. Based on the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis results, according to the Youden index, the optimal cutoff value of PLR was 161.5.

Through normality test, only age and body mass index (BMI) conformed to normal distribution. Age and BMI were expressed by mean \pm standard deviation. And other skewness distribution indexes were expressed by median (interquartile range). Categorical variables were compared with the Pearson χ^2 test, and the results are presented as frequencies (percentages). Differences between the PLR groups were tested using Kruskal–Wallis test for skewed continuous variables and an independent sample t-test was used for continuous variables.

The univariable cox regression model was used to examine the association between patients' characteristics and new-onset PDRP. The factors included in the Cox regression model were determined according to the results of univariate Cox regression analysis and previous studies. Three Cox proportional hazards regression models were conducted to examine the association between PLR and the first occurrence of PDRP: model 1, demographic; model 2, model 1 plus comorbid conditions; model 3, model 2 plus laboratory variables.

The interaction between the subgroup variables (age, sex, hyperlipemia, diabetes) and the PLR group was tested by performing a formal test of interaction. Forest plots were used to show the relationship between PLR and new-onset PDRP in each subgroup. Competitive risk models were used to investigate the effects of death, transfer to hemodialysis, and transfer to kidney transplantation events.

The statistical analysis was done by SPSS 25.0, R software (version R 4.1.0 www.r-project.org), and GraphPad Prism8. All the tests were carried out bilaterally, and all the tests with P < 0.05 were considered to be meaningful.

Results

Patient characteristics

Finally, a total of 1251 patients were included in the statistical analysis. At a mean follow-up of 45.45 months, 121 patients experienced the first occurrence of PDRP (Fig. 1). In order to obtain the optimal cutoff value of PLR, we analyzed the ROC curve with peritonitis as the state variable (Fig. 2). The optimal cutoff value of PLR is 161.5.

There were 712 patients in PLR < 161.5 group (low PLR group, LPG), and 539 patients in PLR \geq 161.5 group (high PLR group, HPG). In the total patients, the age was 50.68 ± 14.58 years, and 719(57.5%) of patients were male. There were 939 (75.1%) patients with hypertension, 299 (23.9%) patients with diabetes, 78 (6.2%) patients with a 114 (9.1%) patients with a



history of cardiovascular disease. The patients in HPG were older, with higher rates of diabetes and a history of hyperlipidemia and CVD. Other laboratory indicators were listed in Table 1.

PLR and PDRP

Variables associated with new-onset PDRP were analyzed with univariable and multivariable Cox regression analysis. History of smoking, CVD, and the labs



Table 1 Demographic and laboratory values of 1251 PD patients

	Total	PLR<161.5	PLR≥161.5	P-value
Numbers	1251	712	539	-
Demographics				
Age(years)	50.68 ± 14.58	49.18 ± 14.77	52.66 ± 14.1	< 0.001
Male(%)	719(57.5%)	398(55.9%)	314(59.6%)	0.189
Smoke (%)	63(5.0%)	29(4.1%)	34(6.3%)	0.073
BMI (kg/ m ²)	22.10 ± 3.38	22.13 ± 3.32	22.06 ± 3.47	0.735
Comorbidities				
Hypertension (%)	939(75.1%)	528(74.2%)	411(76.3%)	0.396
Diabetes (%)	299(23.9%)	150(21.1%)	149(27.6%)	0.007
History of hyperlipemia (%)	78(6.2%)	35(4.9%)	43(8.0%)	0.027
History of CVD (%)	114(9.1%)	53(7.4%)	61(11.3%)	0.018
Laboratory Variables				
Total Kt/V	2.20(1.71-2.72)	2.20(1.72-2.69)	2.19(1.70-2.76)	0.559
Albumin (g/L)	35.30(31.50-38.50)	35.90(32.30-39.00)	34.80(30.60-38.00)	0.003
RRF(mL/min/1.73 m ²)	3.22(1.68-5.65)	3.11(1.64-5.60)	3.37(1.79-5.71)	0.313
WBC ($\times 10^{9}/L$)	5.90(4.63-7.31)	5.83(4.66-7.20)	5.97(4.60-7.47)	0.610
RBC (× $10^{12}/L$)	2.86(2.45-3.35)	2.78(2.39-3.31)	2.87(2.45-3.39)	0.058
Hemoglobin(g/L)	82.00(71.00-97.00)	80.00(70.00-95.75)	84.00 (70.00–98.00)	0.085
Lymphocyte (× 10 ⁹ /L)	1.17(0.88-1.48)	1.31(1.04-1.64)	0.95(0.73-1.2.0)	< 0.001
Neutrophil (× 10 ⁹ /L)	3.92(3.00-5.10)	38.00(2.91-4.96)	4.29(3.14-5.6)	< 0.001
Platelet (× $10^9/L$)	174.00(130.00-226.25)	149.50(105.00-188.00)	214.00(169.00-266.00)	< 0.001
PLR	147.76(106.86-200.65)	113.52(90.88-136.73)	214.08(184.21-267.01)	< 0.001
FBG (mmol/L)	4.70(4.18-5.55)	4.67(4.2-5.34)	4.9(4.2-5.8)	< 0.001
Urea nitrogen(mmol/L)	20.42(15.6-26.93)	21 (16.1–27.3)	19.8(15.1–26.4)	0.041
Calcium (mmol/L)	2.04(1.87-2.19)	2.03(1.85-2.19)	2.06(1.89-2.21)	0.032
Phosphorus (mmol/L)	1.72(1.38-2.06)	1.72(1.39-2.07)	1.70(1.35-2.02)	0.551
iPTH (pg/ml)	179.00(87.60–290.75)	192.40(100.51-310.66)	158.98(60.20-264.10)	0.005
Total cholesterol(mmol/L)	4.21(3.45-5.77)	4.11(3.42-4.97)	4.31(3.53-5.02)	0.018
Triacylglycerol(mmol/L)	1.32(0.93-1.82)	1.27(0.93-1.81)	1.33(0.95-1.86)	0.206

BMI body mass index, CVD cardiovascular disease, RRF residual renal function, WBC white blood cell, RBC red blood cell, PLR platelet lymphocyte ratio, FBG fasting blood glucose

including serum albumin, serum calcium, serum potassium, and hemoglobin, were associated with new-onset PDRP (Table2).

With the LPG as a reference, after adjusting for demographic indicators, medical history, and laboratory indicators, Cox multivariable analysis showed that the high PLR group had a 2.235(95% CI 1.539–3.245, P<0.001), 1.711(95% CI 1.176–2.491, P=0.005) and 1.689(95% CI 1.096–2.602, P=0.017) times higher risk of new-onset PDRP (Table 3).

According to Fig. 2, the area under the ROC curve of the PLR (0.611, 95% CI: 0.560–0.662, P < 0.001). The sensitivity and specificity of PLR were 61.2% and 58.8% respectively.

The Kaplan–Meier cumulative incidence curve demonstrated that the patients in HPG had a higher incidence of new-onset PDRP (log-rank test, P < 0.001) (Fig. 3) than the patients in LPG. The forest plot showed that there was no interaction between age, sex, hyperlipemia, diabetes, and PLR (Fig. 4). The relationship between PLR and PDRP has a similar pattern among subgroups.

In the competitive risk model, the cumulative incidence function (CIF) of new-onset peritoneal-associated peritonitis was significantly different between different PLR groups after considering the influence of competitive risk events such as death, kidney transplantation, and hemodialysis (P < 0.001) (Fig. 5).

Discussion

This is a multicenter retrospective study to investigate the relationship between PLR and the development of newonset PDRP in PD patients, suggesting that a higher PLR lever was associated with a higher risk of PDRP.

Chronic systemic inflammation is an important adverse effect in PD patients. This may be related to the accumulation of uremic wastes, PD catheterization,

Table 2	Significant	risk factors	for the first	occurrence of PDRP

Variables	HR	95%CI	<i>P</i> -value
Univariable Cox regression			
BMI (per 1-kg/m2 greater)	1.096	1.042-1.152	< 0.001
Smoke history (yes vs. no)	7.532	4.895-11.589	< 0.001
CVD history (yes vs. no)	4.755	3.191-7.084	< 0.001
Hyperlipemia history (yes vs. no)	8.232	5.577-12.152	< 0.001
Diabetes (yes vs. no)	2.588	1.792–3.737	< 0.001
WBC (per 10 ⁹ /L greater)	1.100	1.027-1.178	0.006
Hemoglobin (per 1-g/L greater)	1.038	1.032-1.045	< 0.001
Serum albumin (per 1-g/L greater)	0.934	0.905-0.964	< 0.001
Serum calcium (per 1-mmol/L greater)	9.130	4.944–16.859	< 0.001
Serum phosphorus (per 1-mmol/L greater)	0.380	0.255-0.565	< 0.001
Serum potassium (per 1-mmol/L greater)	0.399	0.320-0.498	< 0.001
Multivariable Cox regression			
Smoke history (yes vs. no)	2.954	1.847-4.724	< 0.001
CVD history (yes vs. no)	1.770	1.114-2.811	0.016
Hemoglobin (per 1-g/L greater)	1.021	1.012-1.031	< 0.001
Serum albumin (per 1-g/L greater)	0.924	0.891-0.959	< 0.001
Serum calcium (per 1-mmol/L greater)	3.579	1.527-8.387	0.003
Serum potassium (per 1-mmol/L greater)	0.626	0.483-0.812	< 0.001

PDRP peritoneal dialysis-related peritonitis, BMI body mass index, CVD cardiovascular disease, WBC white blood cell, HR hazard ratio, CI confidence interval

Table 3	Relationship	Between	PLR and	the n	new-onset	PDRP
---------	--------------	---------	---------	-------	-----------	------

Risk factor	HR (95% CI)	P-value	
Unadjusted	2.268(1.573-3.271)	< 0.001	
Model1	2.235(1.539-3.245)	< 0.001	
Model2	1.711(1.176–2.491)	0.005	
Model3	1.689(1.096–2.602)	0.017	

Model 1: age, BMI, sex

Model 2: Model 1 plus smoking history, hyperlipemia, diabetes, cardiovascular disease

Model 3: Model 2 plus WBC, RBC, serum albumin, serum creatinine, serum uric acid, FBG, total cholesterol, serum phosphorus, serum alkaline phosphatase, iPTH, serum calcium, serum potassium

WBC white blood cell, RBC red blood cell, BMI body mass index, FBG fasting blood glucose, *iPTH* intact parathyroid hormone, HR hazard ratio, CI confidence interval

bioincompatible dialysate, and periodontal problems [23]. It is well known that platelets and lymphocytes come from the same hematopoietic stem cell [24], and PLR should be maintained in constant homeostasis. Higher PLR conditions mean relatively high platelets and/or low lymphocytes. Many studies have proved that PLR is considered as an indicator of systemic inflammatory response when patients have no obvious infection [25]. Higher platelet count may reflect the increase of platelet activation [26]. Both platelets and lymphocytes were closely associated with infection in patients

with ESRD. Activated platelets secrete a large number of inflammatory mediators and chemokines such as vasoactive amine, interleukin-1, and proteolytic enzyme, which directly or indirectly cause target cell activation and trigger an inflammatory reaction [24, 26]. At the same time, platelets play a significant role in leukocyte recruitment, activation, and migration [27]. The expression of platelet P-selectin and the subsequent formation of plateletleukocyte aggregates up-regulate the pro-inflammatory function of leukocytes. Activated platelets can stimulate leukocytes to recruit to the blood vessel wall and cause inflammation [28]. Lymphocytopenia reveals the inhibition of congenital cellular immunity, which may be caused by systemic inflammation and may lead to inadequate immune response and weakened defense [29]. When platelets and lymphocytes are considered together, elevated PLR may indicate poor physical condition and chronic inflammation in patients with chronic kidney disease.

Different cut-off values for PLR have been used in clinical studies. This depends on the complications, such as cancer, hematology, sepsis, cardiovascular disease, diabetes, and the type of endpoint such as morbidity and/ or prevalence of the disease. Elevated PLR value can predict a variety of diseases. A study by Stefan Diem showed that high baseline PLR was significantly associated with poorer overall survival (OS) in patients with NSCLC [11]. Wang reported an association between elevated PLR







and poor OS (HR=1.85,95% CI 1.51–2.25, P < 0.001) in prostate cancer patients [30]. Elevated PLR indicates systemic inflammation, which can lead to increased resting energy expenditure, hypoproteinemia, and malnutrition, ultimately leading to weight loss and tumor progression, leading to increased mortality [12]. Yun Suk G. et al. 's study showed that elevated PLR was associated with long-term all-cause mortality in patients at high risk for coronary artery disease with coronary angiography [31]. The advantage of PLR is that it reflects the condition of patients with both inflammatory and thrombosis pathways [32]. It is more valuable than a platelet or lymphocyte count alone. At the same time, PLR is an easy indicator to obtain and easy to follow up.

In this study, multivariate Cox regression analysis showed that smoking history, CVD history, hemoglobin, serum albumin, serum calcium, and serum potassium were the risk factors of PDRP. The results of this study are consistent with previous findings that hypokalemia and hypoalbuminemia are associated with an increased risk of peritonitis. Serum albumin and hemoglobin as nutritional and muscle mass surrogate measures have been confirmed to be related to the occurrence of PDRP [33–35]. Meanwhile, history of smoking and CVD were also mentioned as risk factors for PDRP in some single-center retrospective studies [36, 37].

There are some limitations in our study. First, this is a retrospective study of several provinces in southern China and cannot draw causal conclusions, implying limited generalizability. Second, this study only divided the population into two groups according to the cut-off value, and could not observe whether minimum values of the PLR may be equal to higher PLR for PDRP in PD patients. Third, the database lacked iron-deficiency related parameters and could not rule out the influence of iron-related indexes on platelets. Finally, PLR could not be compared with C-reactive protein, procalcitonin (PCT), IL-6, and other related indicators. The lack of demographic data such as sanitary conditions and education levels in the database may bias the results. Therefore, it is necessary to conduct prospective studies for different populations to determine the best PLR value and make better use of this simple hematological index.

Conclusion

To sum up, this is a study to examine the relationship between PLR and new-onset PDRP events in PD patients. PLR, as a readily available hematological marker, was associated with peritonitis in patients undergoing peritoneal dialysis.

Abbreviations

PLR: Platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio; PD: Peritoneal dialysis; PDRP: Peritoneal dialysis-related peritonitis; CAPD: Continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis; CIF: Cumulative incidence function; ROC: Receiver operating characteristic; LPG: Low PLR group; HPG: High PLR group; CKD: Chronic kidney disease; ESRD: End-stage renal disease.

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi. org/10.1186/s12882-022-03038-5.

Additional file 1.

Additional file 2.

Acknowledgements

Ning Su and Sijia Shang were involved in the design of the study. All authors participated in the interpretation of the results and the drafting and editing of the manuscript, and all authors approved the final manuscript.

Authors' contributions

The author(s) read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This study was supported by The Key Project of Social Science and Technology of Dongguan (No. 201950715046061).

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analyzed during this study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Sixth Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yet-Sen University (No. 2021SLYEC-177). The study was performed in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. All methods were carried out in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations. All experimental protocols were approved by a named institutional and licensing committee. Informed consent was obtained from all subjects and their legal guardians.

Consent for publication

Not applicable. No individual personal data were included in the study. Sixth Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yet-Sen University (No. 2021SLYEC-177).

Competing of interests

The authors declare that they have no financial conflicts of interest.

Author details

¹Department of Nephrology, The Sixth Affiliated Hospital, Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou, China. ²Department of Nephrology, DongGuan SongShan Lake Hospital, Dongguan, China. ³Department of Nephrology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, China. ⁴Department of Nephrology, The Second Affiliated Hospital, Guangzhou Medical University, Guangzhou, China. ⁵Department of Nephrology, Jiujiang No. 1 People's Hospital, Jiujiang, China. ⁶Department of Medical Statistics, Clinical Trials Unit, The First Affiliated Hospital, Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou, China. ⁷Department of Hematology, The Sixth Affiliated Hospital, Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou, China. ⁸Department of Intensive Care Unit, The Sixth Affiliated Hospital, Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou, China.

Received: 17 January 2022 Accepted: 12 December 2022 Published online: 30 December 2022

References

- Jain AK, et al. Global trends in rates of peritoneal dialysis. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2012;23(3):533–44.
- Boudville N, et al. Recent peritonitis associates with mortality among patients treated with peritoneal dialysis. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2012;23(8):1398–405.

- Li PK, et al. ISPD Peritonitis Recommendations: 2016 Update on Prevention and Treatment. Peritoneal dialysis international : journal of the International Society for Peritoneal Dialysis. 2016;36(5):481–508.
- Cho Y, Johnson DW. Peritoneal dialysis-related peritonitis: towards improving evidence, practices, and outcomes. Am J Kidney Dis. 2014;64(2):278–89.
- Perl J, et al. Peritoneal Dialysis-Related Infection Rates and Outcomes: Results From the Peritoneal Dialysis Outcomes and Practice Patterns Study (PDOPPS). Am J Kidney Dis. 2020;76(1):42–53.
- Johnson DW, et al. Association of dialysis modality and cardiovascular mortality in incident dialysis patients. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2009;4(10):1620–8.
- Davies SJ, et al. What really happens to people on long-term peritoneal dialysis? Kidney Int. 1998;54(6):2207–17.
- Szeto CC, Li PK. Peritoneal Dialysis-Associated Peritonitis. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2019;14(7):1100–5.
- Liakopoulos V, et al. Peritoneal dialysis-related infections recommendations: 2016 update. What is new? Int Urol Nephrol. 2017;49(12):2177–84.
- Yang X, et al. High Intraperitoneal Interleukin-6 Levels Predict Peritonitis in Peritoneal Dialysis Patients: A Prospective Cohort Study. Am J Nephrol. 2018;47(5):317–24.
- 11. Diem S, et al. Neutrophil-to-Lymphocyte ratio (NLR) and Platelet-to-Lymphocyte ratio (PLR) as prognostic markers in patients with nonsmall cell lung cancer (NSCLC) treated with nivolumab. Lung Cancer. 2017;111:176–81.
- 12. Wang DS, et al. Comparison of the prognostic values of various inflammation based factors in patients with pancreatic cancer. Med Oncol. 2012;29(5):3092–100.
- Liu D, et al. The Value of Platelet-to-Lymphocyte Ratio as a Prognostic Marker in Cholangiocarcinoma: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Cancers. 2022;14(2):438.
- 14. Zhang T, et al. Risk factors and predictors associated with the severity of COVID-19 in China: a systematic review, meta-analysis, and meta-regression. J Thorac Dis. 2020;12(12):7429–41.
- 15. Zhao C, et al. Prognostic value of an inflammatory biomarker-based clinical algorithm in septic patients in the emergency department: An observational study. Int Immunopharmacol. 2020;80: 106145.
- 16. Catabay C, et al. Lymphocyte Cell Ratios and Mortality among Incident Hemodialysis Patients. Am J Nephrol. 2017;46(5):408–16.
- Zeng M, et al. J-shaped association of platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio with 5-year mortality among patients with chronic kidney disease in a prospective cohort study. Int Urol Nephrol. 2020;52(10):1943–57.
- Chen T, Yang M. Platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio is associated with cardiovascular disease in continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis patients. Int Immunopharmacol. 2020;78:106063.
- Sheng H, et al. Sexual Effect of Platelet-to-Lymphocyte Ratio in Predicting Cardiovascular Mortality of Peritoneal Dialysis Patients. Mediators Inflamm. 2022;2022:8760615.
- Szeto CC, et al. ISPD Catheter-Related Infection Recommendations: 2017 Update. Perit Dial Int. 2017;37(2):141–54.
- 21. Classification and Diagnosis of Diabetes. Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes-2020. Diabetes Care. 2020;43(Suppl 1):S14–31.
- 22. Jones NR, et al. Diagnosis and management of hypertension in adults: NICE guideline update 2019. Br J Gen Pract. 2020;70(691):90–1.
- Li PK, Ng JK, Mcintyre CW. Inflammation and Peritoneal Dialysis. Semin Nephrol. 2017;37(1):54–65.
- van der Meijden P, Heemskerk J. Platelet biology and functions: new concepts and clinical perspectives. Nat Rev Cardiol. 2019;16(3):166–79.
- 25. Fang T, et al. Diagnostic Sensitivity of NLR and PLR in Early Diagnosis of Gastric Cancer. J Immunol Res. 2020;2020:9146042.
- Rubenstein DA, Yin W. Platelet-Activation Mechanisms and Vascular Remodeling. Compr Physiol. 2018;8(3):1117–56.
- Koupenova M, et al. Circulating Platelets as Mediators of Immunity, Inflammation, and Thrombosis. Circ Res. 2018;122(2):337–51.
- 28. Rossaint J, Margraf A, Zarbock A. Role of Platelets in Leukocyte Recruitment and Resolution of Inflammation. Front Immunol. 2018;9:2712.
- 29. Datta S, Sarvetnick N. Lymphocyte proliferation in immune-mediated diseases. Trends Immunol. 2009;30(9):430–8.
- Wang J, et al. Prognostic role of platelet to lymphocyte ratio in prostate cancer: A meta-analysis. Medicine (Baltimore). 2018;97(40): e12504.

- Lee Y, et al. Usefulness of Platelet-to-Lymphocyte Ratio to Predict Long-Term All-Cause Mortality in Patients at High Risk of Coronary Artery Disease Who Underwent Coronary Angiography. Am J Cardiol. 2018;121(9):1021–6.
- Balta S, Demirkol S, Kucuk U. The platelet lymphocyte ratio may be useful inflammatory indicator in clinical practice. Hemodial Int. 2013;17(4):668–9.
- 33. Zanger R. Hyponatremia and hypokalemia in patients on peritoneal dialysis. Semin Dial. 2010;23(6):575–80.
- 34. Davies SJ, et al. Low Serum Potassium Levels and Clinical Outcomes in Peritoneal Dialysis-International Results from PDOPPS. Kidney Int Rep. 2021;6(2):313–24.
- Obi Y, et al. Impact of Obesity on Modality Longevity, Residual Kidney Function, Peritonitis, and Survival Among Incident Peritoneal Dialysis Patients. Am J Kidney Dis. 2018;71(6):802–13.
- Hu S, et al. Peritonitis: Episode Sequence, Microbiological Variation, Risk Factors and Clinical Outcomes in a North China Peritoneal Dialysis Center. Kidney Blood Press Res. 2018;43(5):1573–84.
- Karagulle IV, et al. Risk factors for peritonitis related to peritoneal dialysis. Bratisl Lek Listy. 2013;114(9):531–3.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

