RESEARCH

Open Access

Clinical covariates influencing clinical outcomes in primary membranous nephropathy

Lukas Westermann¹, Felix A. Rottmann¹, Martin J. Hug², Dawid L. Staudacher^{3,4}, Rika Wobser¹, Frederic Arnold^{1,5*†} and Thomas Welte^{1*†}

Abstract

Background Primary membranous nephropathy (PMN) frequently causes nephrotic syndrome and declining kidney function. Disease progression is likely modulated by patient-specific and therapy-associated factors awaiting characterization. These cofactors may facilitate identification of risk groups and could result in more individualized therapy recommendations.

Methods In this single-center retrospective observational study, we analyze the effect of patient-specific and therapy-associated covariates on proteinuria, hypoalbuminemia, and estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) in 74 patients diagnosed with antibody positive PMN and nephrotic-range proteinuria (urine-protein-creatinine-ratio $[UPCR] \ge 3.5 \text{ g/g}$, treated at the University of Freiburg Medical Center between January 2000 – November 2022. The primary endpoint was defined as time to proteinuria / serum-albumin response (UPCR \leq 0.5 g/g or serum-albu- $\min \ge 3.5$ g/dl), the secondary endpoint as time to permanent eGFR decline ($\ge 40\%$ relative to baseline).

Results The primary endpoint was reached after 167 days. The secondary endpoint was reached after 2413 days. Multivariate time-to-event analyses showed significantly faster proteinuria / serum-albumin response for higher serum-albumin levels (HR 2.7 [95% CI: 1.5 – 4.8]) and cyclophosphamide treatment (HR 3.6 [95% CI: 1.3 – 10.3]). eGFR decline was significantly faster in subjects with old age at baseline (HR 1.04 [95% Cl: 1 – 1.1]).

Conclusion High serum-albumin levels, and treatment with cyclophosphamide are associated with faster proteinuria reduction and/or serum-albumin normalization. Old age constitutes a risk factor for eGFR decline in subjects with PMN.

Keywords Primary membranous nephropathy, PLA2-R, THSD7A, Chronic kidney disease, Immunosuppression, Nephrotic syndrome, Rituximab

[†]Frederic Arnold and Thomas Welte contributed equally to this work.

*Correspondence:

- Frederic Arnold frederic.arnold@uniklinik-freiburg.de
- Thomas Welte
- thomas.welte@uniklinik-freiburg.de
- ¹ Department of Medicine IV, Medical Center, Faculty of Medicine,
- University of Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany
- ² Pharmacy, Medical Center, Faculty of Medicine, University of Freiburg,

- ³ Interdisciplinary Medical Intensive Care (IMIT), Medical Center, Faculty
- of Medicine, University of Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany
- ⁴ Department of Cardiology and Angiology I, Heart Center, Faculty
- of Medicine, University of Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany



© The Author(s) 2023, corrected publication 2023. Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

⁵ Institute for Microbiology and Hygiene, Medical Center, Faculty of Medicine, University of Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany

Freiburg, Germany

Introduction

Membranous nephropathy (MN) is a rare kidney-specific autoimmune disease. The disease is caused by podocyte injury from immune complex deposits at the outer glomerular basal membrane (GBM). Histopathologically, this is characterized by thickening of the GBM. Typically, podocyte injury leads to impairment of the glomerular filter. Consequently, patients develop proteinuria, frequently in the nephrotic range [1-3]. The underlying pathophysiology defines two forms of MN: Primary membranous nephropathy (PMN) is caused by production of podocyte specific autoantibodies targeting the protein M-type phospholipase A2 receptor (PLA2R [4]), thrombospondin type-1 domain containing 7A protein (THSD7A [5]), neural epidermal growth factor-like 1 (NELL1 [6]), semaphorin 3b [7], and others (as reviewed in [8]). Secondary MN is caused by circulating immunoglobulins, produced during systemic diseases such as viral infections [9, 10] or certain malignancies [11, 12].

The course of PMN can be highly variable, ranging from spontaneous remission to progressive renal failure [13]. Approximately 34–40% of patients with severe proteinuria develop end stage renal disease (ESRD) [14, 15]. Hence, risk stratification is recommended to assess disease activity prior to therapeutic intervention [16]. Patients with low risk for progressive kidney injury $(eGFR > 60 ml/min/1.73m^2)$, proteinuria < 3.5 g/d, and serum albumin > 3 g/dl) often respond to antiproteinuric therapy with angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEI) or angiotensin receptor blockers (ARB). Cases with moderate to high risk qualify for immunosuppressive therapy with cyclophosphamide, rituximab, ciclosporin, corticosteroids, or a combination of those [16]. However, due to the rarity of the disease most studies incorporated comparatively small patient cohorts and thus evidence for immunosuppressive regimens is limited.

Effect sizes of both patient-specific and therapy-associated covariates in patients at risk for progressive kidney disease are poorly understood. This study aims to characterize the impact of covariates on disease activity (*i.e.*, proteinuria, hypoalbuminemia, and eGFR decline) in a cohort of patients with PMN.

Methods

In this single-center retrospective observational study, we report data from the Renal division, University of Freiburg Medical Center, Germany. Inclusion criteria were age \geq 18 years, biopsy-proven MN, detection of PMN-associated autoantibodies (PLA2R, or THSD7A in either patient serum or kidney biopsies), absence of

secondary diseases associated with MN and nephroticrange proteinuria (measured in spot urine samples by urine protein to creatinine ratio [UPCR] \geq 3.5 g/g).

Subjects treated between January 1st, 2000 and November 30th, 2022 were screened for inclusion criteria. Age, sex, PLA2R-, THSD7A-status (other autoantibodies associated with MN were not tested in our department at the time of this study), serum creatinine levels, urine protein, urine creatinine, total cholesterol, HDL-, LDL-cholesterol, triglycerides, as well as time and dosage of treatment with ACEI/ARB and immunosuppressive agents (corticosteroids, rituximab, ciclosporin, cyclophosphamide) were extracted from electronic patient records. Patients were excluded at the timepoint of dialysis initiation or kidney transplantation. Estimated glomerular filtration rates (eGFR) were calculated using the CKD-EPI 2012 formula [17]. The follow-up time for each subject was defined as the time from inclusion to the last available laboratory measurement. Baseline characteristics were quantified during the first three months of follow-up.

The primary endpoint was defined as time to proteinuria/serum-albumin response (UPCR ≤ 0.5 g/g or serum-albumin ≥ 3.5 g/dl). The secondary endpoint was defined as time to permanent eGFR decline (time to decline of eGFR AND median of subsequent eGFR values relative to baseline $\geq 40\%$). Endpoints were individually analyzed using interval-censored time-to-event datasets. For interval censoring, right interval limits were the timespans between study inclusion and the endpoint-event. If the endpoint-event was not reached, the interval was right-unbounded. Left interval limits were the timespans between study inclusion and the last respective laboratory measurement prior to the endpoint-event. If no such measurement was available, the left interval limit was zero.

Statistical analysis and data visualization were performed using R 4.2.0 software [18], with the survival and interval packages [19, 20]. Multicollinearity was tested with the *performance* package [21]. If not stated otherwise, table data are presented as frequency and percentage, or median and interguartile ranges. For statistical comparison of categorical data, a Pearson's chi square test was performed. For comparison of numeric variables, a Kruskal Wallis rank sum test was performed. Covariate-adjusted hazard ratios were estimated applying multivariate parametric regression models. Time-to-event curves were estimated using the Turnbull method on interval-censored datasets. To compare these time-to-event curves, P-values were calculated using log-rank like statistical tests. P-values < 0.05 were considered to be statistically significant.

Results

Baseline characteristics

We included N=74 subjects in this study. The majority of subjects (67.6%) were male (Table 1). The median follow-up time was 31 (interquartile range [IQR]: 7-74) months. The median time since biopsy at study inclusion was 0 (IQR: 0-5) months. The median age at study initiation was 60 (IQR: 47-70) years. Subjects presented with moderately impaired renal function (median eGFR 55.5 [IQR: 30.5-84.1] ml/min/1.73 m²) and nephroticrange proteinuria (median UPCR 6.0 [IQR: 4.2-9.3] g/g). Median serum-albumin levels were moderately decreased (2.9 [IQR:2.5 -3.3] g/dl). In line with MN treatment recommendations [16], most subjects (71.6%) were treated with ACEI or ARB, with N=24 (32.4%) not receiving additional immunosuppression at baseline (Figure S1A). Notably, four subjects received treatment with both, ACEI and ARB at baseline, and in all instances, these treatments were administered sequentially. Immunosuppressive treatments included primarily prednisone (N=22 [29.7%]), cyclophosphamide (N=18 [24.3%]) or rituximab (N=18 [24.3%]).

 Table 1
 Baseline characteristics

All but two subjects receiving prednisone were either treated with cyclophosphamide (N=15 [20.3%]), rituximab (N=4 [5.4%]), or both (N=1 [1.4%]; Figure S1A). Among the two subjects receiving monotherapy with corticosteroids, one had rituximab therapy planned but not yet initiated, while the other received monotherapy with steroids for an unrelated oncological condition. Of the two cases receiving MMF, one subject was treated with rituximab, the other had rituximab therapy planned but not yet initiated. Table 1 shows the properties of all analyzed covariates at baseline. eGFR, UPCR, serum-albumin levels and age were negatively correlated at baseline (Figure S1B). As expected, throughout the course of this study, UPCR and serum-albumin levels were inversely correlated (Fig. 1A-C) [22].

Endpoint analysis

Time-to-event analysis to assess the influence of diseasemodifying covariates on all endpoints was performed on interval censored time-to-event data. The time to proteinuria/serum-albumin response (UPCR \leq 0.5 g/g or serum-albumin \geq 3.5 g/dl) was 167 days, with a

| Group | Covariate | Subjects (N) | Valueª |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------|-------------------------------|
| General characteristics | Female sex (N) | 74 | 24.00 (32.43%) |
| | Age (years) | 74 | 59.50 (46.50, 70.00) |
| | follow-up (months) | 74 | 30.50 (7.25, 73.75) |
| | time since diagnosis (biopsy; months) | 74 | 0.00 (0.00, 5.00) |
| Renal parameters | eGFR (ml/min/1.73m2) | 74 | 55.52 (30.48, 84.09) |
| | UPCR (g/g) | 74 | 5.97 (4.23, 9.27) |
| | Albumin (g/dl) | 74 | 2.85 (2.50, 3.30) |
| Lipids | Cholesterol (mg/dl) | 48 | 266.75 (230.75, 353.50) |
| | Triglycerides (mg/dl) | 41 | 183.00 (138.00, 277.00) |
| | HDL-Cholesterol (mg/dl) | 38 | 56.00 (46.25, 76.25) |
| | LDL-Cholesterol (mg/dl) | 38 | 173.12 (132.00, 228.25) |
| ACEI ARB treatment | ACEI/ARB treatment (N) | | 53.00 (71.62%) |
| | ACEI equivalent (Ramipril [mg]) | 43 | 10.00 (5.00, 10.00) |
| | ARB equivalent (Candesartan [mg]) | 16 | 16.00 (16.00, 40.00) |
| Immunosuppression | | | |
| Prednisone therapy | Prednisone treatment (N) | | 22.00 (29.73%) |
| | Prednisone dose (mg) | 22 | 20.00 (15.00, 34.38) |
| Rituximab therapy | Rituximab treatment (N) | | 18.00 (24.32%) |
| | Rituximab dose (mg) | 18 | 1,000.00 (1,000.00, 1,000.00) |
| Cyclophosphamide therapy | Cyclophosphamide treatment (N) | | 18.00 (24.32%) |
| | Cyclophosphamide sum dose (mg) | 18 | 2,900.00 (2,041.25, 4,063.75) |
| MMF therapy | MMF treatment (N) | | 2.00 (2.70%) |
| | MMF dose (mg) | 2 | 1,500.00 (1,250.00, 1,750.00) |

Doses for various administered ACEI and ARB were converted to ramipril or candesartan equivalence dose, respectively

Abbreviations: ACEI Angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor, ARB Angiotensin-1 receptor blocker, eGFR Estimated glomerular filtration rate, HDL High-density lipoprotein, LDL Low-density lipoprotein, MMF Mycophenolate mofetil, UPCR Urine protein to creatinine ratio

^a Discrete variables: Number, N (%); continuous variables: Median of each variable in the first three months of follow-up (IQR)



Fig. 1 Change of outcome variables over time. Plots indicating median eGFR (**A**), UPCR (**B**), serum-albumin (**C**) levels per year in the study population in the first 6 years of follow-up. Error bars indicate 25% and 75% quartiles. A generalized additive regression model was fitted to the data (red lines). Grey shades indicate 95% confidence intervals of the regressions

probability of 78% (95% CI: 52–90%) to reach the endpoint after one year (Fig. 2A). The time to permanent eGFR decline (\geq 40% from baseline) was 2,413 days. The probability of reaching permanent eGFR decline after one year was 5.6% (95% CI: 0 – 12%; Fig. 2B).

Univariate time-to-event analyses showed significantly faster primary endpoint response for high vs low (>3 g/dl vs \leq 3 g/dl) serum-albumin levels and similar non-significant trends for low vs high (\leq 3.5 g/g vs \geq 3.5 g/dl) UPCR levels at baseline (Figure S2A, B). eGFR stages at baseline did not significantly influence the time to proteinuria/ serum-albumin response (Figure S2C). Among tested treatment covariates, treatment with cyclophosphamide, but neither treatment with ACE-inhibitors or ARB, nor

treatment with prednisone or rituximab were associated with a significantly altered time to proteinuria/serumalbumin response (Figure S2D-G). Table S1 summarizes probabilities of proteinuria/serum-albumin response at 1 year for all covariates.

The time to permanent eGFR decline was not significantly influenced by serum-albumin or UPCR levels at baseline (Figure S3A, B). As expected, a trend towards faster eGFR decline in subjects presenting with low vs high eGFR at baseline could be observed (Figure S3C). Significance was not reached, as long-term follow-up data on subjects with low eGFR (<30) was limited. Treatment with ACEI or ARB was associated with a non-significant trend for slower eGFR decline, while treatment



Fig. 2 Univariate time-to-event analysis of primary and secondary outcome. A, B Time-to-event estimates fitted on interval-censored data for the primary endpoint (time to proteinuria/serum-albumin response; (A)) and the secondary endpoint (time to permanent eGFR decline (B)). Shading indicates 95% confidence intervals. Data are shown as global time to respective endpoints

with prednisone, cyclophosphamide or rituximab had no measurable effects on permanent eGFR decline in our study (Figure S3E-G). Table S1 summarizes probabilities of reaching eGFR decline at 1 year for all covariates.

We assessed the robustness of observed effects on the endpoints in multivariate parametric regression models (Fig. 3). High serum-albumin levels, but not low UPCR levels, were associated with faster proteinuria/serum-albumin response (HR 2.7 [95% CI: 1.5 - 4.8]). The year of treatment was not associated with altered proteinuria/serum-albumin response or permanent eGFR decline. After covariate correction, treatment with cyclophospha-mide was still associated with a significantly faster proteinuria/ serum-albumin response (HR 3.6 [95% CI: 1.3 - 10.3]), while treatment with prednisone or rituximab had no significant effects. A subtle, yet significant trend for faster eGFR decline was observed in subjects with old age (HR 1.04 [95% CI: 1 - 1.07]).

Multicollinearity analysis showed low correlation for all variables, endpoints, and regression models (Figure S4), corroborating independence of the variables and robustness of our results.

Discussion

In this retrospective study we investigate the influence of clinical covariates on both, nephrotic symptoms, and renal function. Interestingly, serum-albumin, but not proteinuria levels at baseline correlate with faster recovery of nephrotic range proteinuria or hypoalbuminemia. This discrepancy may result from the applied methodology, as proteinuria estimation by UPCR inaccurately depicts high proteinuria levels (>3 g/d) [23]. By contrast, serumalbumin levels are less prone to variation and may thus be more appropriate to assess proteinuria and guide therapy decisions. Of note, serum-albumin levels did not correlate with the eGFR response. This finding should be interpreted considering the moderate baseline hypoalbuminemia (2.9 g/l) observed in our cohort. Indeed, a previous study reported severe hypoalbuminemia (<1.5 g/dl) to be associated with rapid eGFR decline [24]. Therefore, severity of hypoalbuminemia at presentation may be useful to identify patients at risk for both nephrotic symptoms and impaired renal function.

Regarding treatment-specific covariates, we did not observe significant effects of ACEI or ARB administration on nephrotic range proteinuria or hypoalbuminemia. This contrasts with antiproteinuric effects observed for ACEI treatment in nondiabetic nephropathies [25– 27] and smaller studies reporting similar results in MN patients with low or moderate non-nephrotic proteinuria [28, 29]. Small sample sizes, short follow-up and a relatively low UPCR threshold (≤ 0.5 g/g) implemented in the definition of the primary endpoint might explain the lack of significant effects in our study.

Despite evolving therapeutic approaches for MN in recent years, there was no correlation between the covariate 'inclusion year' and time to reach both endpoints. This is likely attributed to a relatively recent inclusion of most subjects (median year at inclusion: 2016 [IQR: 2014–2019]).

| | | | Primary endpoint (proteinuria/albumin response) | | Secondary endpoint (eGFR decline) | | | | | |
|----------------------|----------------|----|---|------------|-----------------------------------|---------|----------------|-------------|------------------|---------|
| Group | Variable | N | | | HR (95% CI) | P-value | | | HR (95% CI) | P-value |
| Sex | F | 24 | | Þ | Reference | | • | | Reference | |
| | М | 50 | | • | 1.21 (0.66,2.24) | 0.535 | ⊢ | — | 1.09 (0.43,2.78) | 0.851 |
| Continuous variables | Age | 74 | | | 0.98 (0.97,1.00) | 0.138 | • | | 1.04 (1.00,1.07) | 0.039 |
| | eGFR | 74 | • | | 0.99 (0.98,1.00) | 0.202 | • | | 1.01 (0.99,1.02) | 0.488 |
| | Albumin | 74 | | | 2.69 (1.52,4.76) | 0.001 | L L | • | 1.60 (0.74,3.45) | 0.233 |
| | UPCR | 74 | | | 1.01 (0.92,1.10) | 0.886 | • | | 1.04 (0.93,1.16) | 0.528 |
| | Inclusion year | 74 | • | • | 0.98 (0.91,1.06) | 0.661 | • | | 0.99 (0.88,1.11) | 0.818 |
| ACEI / ARB | No | 21 | | • | Reference | | • | | Reference | |
| | Yes | 53 | ⊢ | | 0.93 (0.43,1.98) | 0.844 | ⊢ ● | | 0.70 (0.27,1.85) | 0.474 |
| Prednisone | No | 52 | | | Reference | | • | | Reference | |
| | Yes | 22 | ⊢ ● | | 0.73 (0.28,1.95) | 0.535 | ⊢ | | 0.92 (0.22,3.83) | 0.913 |
| Cyclophosphamide | No | 56 | | | Reference | | • | | Reference | |
| | Yes | 18 | | ⊢ → | 3.62 (1.27,10.34) | 0.016 | | | 1.26 (0.31,5.10) | 0.742 |
| Rituximab | No | 56 | | Þ | Reference | | • | | Reference | |
| | Yes | 18 | | | 1.15 (0.58,2.29) | 0.692 | | • | 1.36 (0.51,3.63) | 0.544 |
| | | | 0.2 | 1 4 | | | 0.2 1 | 4 | | |
| | | | response slower | response f | aster | | decline slower | decline fas | ster | |

Fig. 3 Multivariate endpoint analysis. Parametric regression models were fitted to interval-censored data. Endpoints: Primary endpoint (time to proteinuria/serum-albumin response; left panel), secondary endpoint (time to permanent eGFR decline; right panel). Abbreviations: eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; inclusion year, year of inclusion in the study; UPCR, urine protein to creatinine ratio

Regarding immunosuppressive therapy, we observed significant antiproteinuric effects for cyclophosphamide, but not for prednisone or rituximab treatment. Low case counts in the respective subgroups of our study may explain the lack of significance, as previous studies have demonstrated antiproteinuric effects for both prednisone and rituximab [30-33]. It is worth noting that a recent investigation found a faster proteinuria response in membranous nephropathy with cyclophosphamide compared to rituximab-based treatment regimens [34]. Hence, the follow-up time of our study (median 30.5 months) might have been too short to observe significant effects for rituximab-based regimens. Similarly, inadequate follow-up time might as well explain why most covariates did not show significant effects for permanent eGFR loss.

This study has several limitations, the major being its retrospective character. Small sample size for subgroups treated with immunosuppressive agents, short follow-up time, and heterogeneous treatment regimens complicate the interpretation of outcomes. Furthermore, important covariates, such as histopathological data could not be assessed due to incomplete reporting.

Collectively, our study analyzes the influence of relevant clinical covariates on therapy response in patients with nephrotic-range proteinuria in PMN. We demonstrate that higher serum-albumin levels are a patientspecific predictor for faster recovery from nephrotic range proteinuria or hypoalbuminemia. As a therapyassociated covariate, treatment with cyclophosphamide results in faster recovery from nephrotic range proteinuria or hypoalbuminemia. Our findings encourage further research to quantify the influence of serumalbumin levels and other patient-specific predictors, as well as treatment-associated factors on PMN outcomes in greater detail. Prospective studies analyzing additional covariates are necessary to obtain a more comprehensive understanding of PMN outcomes.

Abbreviations

| ACEI | Angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor |
|--------|--|
| ARB | Angiotensin receptor blocker |
| 95% CI | 95% Confidence interval |
| CKD | Chronic kidney disease |
| eGFR | Estimated glomerular filtration rate |
| IQR | Interquartile range |
| TG | Triglycerides |
| HDL | High-density lipoprotein |
| LDL | Low-density lipoprotein |
| PMN | Primary membranous glomerulopathy |
| MMF | Mycophenolate mofetil |
| PLA2R | Phospholipase-A2 receptor |
| PRED | Prednisone |
| THSD7A | Against thrombospondin type-1 domain containing 7A protein |
| UPCR | Urine protein to creatinine ratio |
| RTX | Rituximab |

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi. org/10.1186/s12882-023-03288-x.

Additional file 1.

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Authors' contributions

LW, FA, and TW conceived and designed the study, performed data analysis and visualization, and wrote the manuscript. FAR, MJH, DLS, and RW performed data analysis, and wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL. The work of TW and FA was funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation), Project-ID 431984000 – CRC 1453 Nephrogenetics.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets analyzed in the current study are not publicly available to ensure privacy of research participants and comply with regulations of the ethics approval. Fully anonymized raw datasets analyzed in this study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request. Computer codes are available on request from the corresponding authors (thomas.welte@uniklinik-freiburg.de; frederic.arnold@uniklinik-freiburg.de).

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study is in conformity with the Helsinki and Istanbul declarations and its amendments. The study was approved by the ethics committee of the Medical Center, University of Freiburg (22–1467-S1-retro). Informed consent was waived by the ethics committee of the Medical Center, University of Freiburg (22–1467-S1-retro) because of the retrospective nature of the study and the analysis used anonymous clinical data.

The study was registered in the German Clinical Trials Register DRKS00031479 on 16/03/2023.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: 3 May 2023 Accepted: 2 August 2023 Published online: 10 August 2023

References

- Davison AM, Cameron JS, Kerr DN, Ogg CS, Wilkinson RW. The natural history of renal function in untreated idiopathic membranous glomerulonephritis in adults. Clin Nephrol. 1984;22(2):61–7.
- Beck LH Jr, Salant DJ. Membranous nephropathy: from models to man. J Clin Invest. 2014;124(6):2307–14.
- Cattran DC, Brenchley PE. Membranous nephropathy: integrating basic science into improved clinical management. Kidney Int. 2017;91(3):566–74.
- Beck LH Jr, Bonegio RG, Lambeau G, Beck DM, Powell DW, Cummins TD, Klein JB, Salant DJ. M-type phospholipase A2 receptor as target antigen in idiopathic membranous nephropathy. N Engl J Med. 2009;361(1):11–21.
- Tomas NM, Beck LH Jr, Meyer-Schwesinger C, Seitz-Polski B, Ma H, Zahner G, Dolla G, Hoxha E, Helmchen U, Dabert-Gay AS, et al. Thrombospondin type-1 domain-containing 7A in idiopathic membranous nephropathy. N Engl J Med. 2014;371(24):2277–87.

- Sethi S, Debiec H, Madden B, Charlesworth MC, Morelle J, Gross L, Ravindran A, Buob D, Jadoul M, Fervenza FC. Neural epidermal growth factorlike 1 protein (NELL-1) associated membranous nephropathy. Kidney Int. 2020;97(1):163–74.
- Sethi S, Debiec H, Madden B, Vivarelli M, Charlesworth MC, Ravindran A, Gross L, Ulinski T, Buob D, Tran CL. Semaphorin 3B–associated membranous nephropathy is a distinct type of disease predominantly present in pediatric patients. Kidney Int. 2020;98(5):1253–64.
- 8. Tesar V, Hruskova Z. Autoantibodies in the diagnosis, monitoring, and treatment of membranous nephropathy. Front Immunol. 2021;12:593288.
- Combes B, Shorey J, Barrera A, Stastny P, Eigenbrodt EH, Hull AR, Carter NW. Glomerulonephritis with deposition of Australia antigenantibody complexes in glomerular basement membrane. Lancet. 1971;2(7718):234–7.
- Numata A, Akimoto T, Toshima M, Iwazu Y, Otani N, Miki T, Sugase T, Saito O, Hamano Y, Takemoto F, et al. Membranous nephropathy in an HIV-positive patient complicated with hepatitis B virus infection. Clin Exp Nephrol. 2011;15(5):769–73.
- 11. Alpers CE, Cotran RS. Neoplasia and glomerular injury. Kidney Int. 1986;30(4):465–73.
- Heaf JG, Hansen A, Laier GH. Quantification of cancer risk in glomerulonephritis. BMC Nephrol. 2018;19(1):27.
- Schieppati A, Mosconi L, Perna A, Mecca G, Bertani T, Garattini S, Remuzzi G. Prognosis of untreated patients with idiopathic membranous nephropathy. N Engl J Med. 1993;329(2):85–9.
- Ponticelli C, Zucchelli P, Passerini P, Cesana B, Locatelli F, Pasquali S, Sasdelli M, Redaelli B, Grassi C, Pozzi C, et al. A 10-year follow-up of a randomized study with methylprednisolone and chlorambucil in membranous nephropathy. Kidney Int. 1995;48(5):1600–4.
- Clarkson TB, Hughes CL, Klein KP. The nonhuman primate model of the relationship between gonadal steroids and coronary heart disease. Prog Cardiovasc Dis. 1995;38(3):189–98.
- Disease K. Improving Global Outcomes Glomerular Diseases Work G: KDIGO 2021 Clinical Practice Guideline for the Management of Glomerular Diseases. Kidney Int. 2021;100(4S):S1–276.
- Inker LA, Schmid CH, Tighiouart H, Eckfeldt JH, Feldman HI, Greene T, Kusek JW, Manzi J, Van Lente F, Zhang YL. Estimating glomerular filtration rate from serum creatinine and cystatin C. N Engl J Med. 2012;367(1):20–9.
- 18. Team RC. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. 2013.
- 19. Therneau T, Lumley T. R survival package. R Core Team. 2013.
- Fay MP, Shaw PA. Exact and Asymptotic Weighted Logrank Tests for Interval Censored Data: The interval R package. J Stat Softw. 2010;36(2):i02.
- Lüdecke DBSM, Patil I, Waggoner P, Makowski D. performance: An R package for assessment, comparison and testing of statistical models. J Open Source Softw. 2021;16(60):3139.
- Ronco P, Beck L, Debiec H, Fervenza FC, Hou FF, Jha V, Sethi S, Tong A, Vivarelli M, Wetzels J. Membranous nephropathy. Nat Rev Dis Primers. 2021;7(1):69.
- Chen YT, Hsu HJ, Hsu CK, Lee CC, Hsu KH, Sun CY, Chen CY, Chen YC, Yu YC, Wu IW. Correlation between spot and 24h proteinuria: Derivation and validation of equation to estimate daily proteinuria. PLoS One. 2019;14(4):e0214614.
- 24. Reichert LJ, Koene RA, Wetzels JF. Prognostic factors in idiopathic membranous nephropathy. Am J Kidney Dis. 1998;31(1):1–11.
- Group TG. Randomised placebo-controlled trial of effect of ramipril on decline in glomerular filtration rate and risk of terminal renal failure in proteinuric, non-diabetic nephropathy. The GISEN Group (Gruppo Italiano di Studi Epidemiologici in Nefrologia). Lancet. 1997;349(9069):1857–186.
- Jafar TH, Stark PC, Schmid CH, Landa M, Maschio G, de Jong PE, de Zeeuw D, Shahinfar S, Toto R, Levey AS, et al. Progression of chronic kidney disease: the role of blood pressure control, proteinuria, and angiotensinconverting enzyme inhibition: a patient-level meta-analysis. Ann Intern Med. 2003;139(4):244–52.
- Xu R, Sun S, Huo Y, Yun L, Huang S, Li G, Yan S. Effects of ACEIs Versus ARBs on Proteinuria or Albuminuria in Primary Hypertension: A Meta-Analysis of Randomized Trials. Medicine (Baltimore). 2015;94(39):e1560.
- Gansevoort RT, Heeg JE, Vriesendorp R, de Zeeuw D, de Jong PE. Antiproteinuric drugs in patients with idiopathic membranous glomerulopathy. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 1992;7(Suppl 1):91–6.

- Ruggenenti P, Mosconi L, Vendramin G, Moriggi M, Remuzzi A, Sangalli F, Remuzzi G. ACE inhibition improves glomerular size selectivity in patients with idiopathic membranous nephropathy and persistent nephrotic syndrome. Am J Kidney Dis. 2000;35(3):381–91.
- Remuzzi G, Chiurchiu C, Abbate M, Brusegan V, Bontempelli M, Ruggenenti P. Rituximab for idiopathic membranous nephropathy. Lancet. 2002;360(9337):923–4.
- Cattran DC, Appel GB, Hebert LA, Hunsicker LG, Pohl MA, Hoy WE, Maxwell DR, Kunis CL. North America Nephrotic Syndrome Study G: Cyclosporine in patients with steroid-resistant membranous nephropathy: a randomized trial. Kidney Int. 2001;59(4):1484–90.
- Howman A, Chapman TL, Langdon MM, Ferguson C, Adu D, Feehally J, Gaskin GJ, Jayne DR, O'Donoghue D, Boulton-Jones M, et al. Immunosuppression for progressive membranous nephropathy: a UK randomised controlled trial. Lancet. 2013;381(9868):744–51.
- 33. Fernandez-Juarez G, Rojas-Rivera J, Logt AV, Justino J, Sevillano A, Caravaca-Fontan F, Avila A, Rabasco C, Cabello V, Varela A, et al. The STAR-MEN trial indicates that alternating treatment with corticosteroids and cyclophosphamide is superior to sequential treatment with tacrolimus and rituximab in primary membranous nephropathy. Kidney Int. 2021;99(4):986–98.
- Scolari F, Delbarba E, Santoro D, Gesualdo L, Pani A, Dallera N, Mani LY, Santostefano M, Feriozzi S, Quaglia M, et al. Rituximab or cyclophosphamide in the treatment of membranous nephropathy: The RI-CYCLO randomized trial. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2021;32(4):972–82.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

